

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_001	Abad Viñas, Raul	Overview	130	130	It seems a matter of inconsistency that across the text it used either: carbon stock changes and non-CO2 emissions and removals or carbon stock changes and non-CO2 emissions. (e.g. in line 130, 1423, vs. 315,1560, 1638). I would suggest using the same terminology across the text.		Accept. "Carbon stock changes and non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions" has been used throughout the KP Supplement.	
OV_E_002	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	28	31	Term "KP" is already defined in line 28, so need not to be re-defined in line 31		Reject. The acronym in line number 28 refers to the KP Supplement while that in line number 31 refers to the Kyoto Protocol.	
OV_E_003	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	59		Term CMP is used in this line and at several locations. The term should be defined where used for the first time.		Reject. "CMP" has been first defined here itself.	
OV_E_004	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	72		Term COP is used for first time in this sentence and should be defined here.		Accept. COP has been defined.	
OV_E_005	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	136		Term ARD used in this sentence as well as in Table 1 and should be defined.		Accept. Footnote 5 has been added to define ARD.	
OV_E_006	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	139		Term HWP is already defined in line 94, so need not to be re-defined in line 139 and in Table 1		Accept. Removed redefinition.	
OV_E_007	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	140		Term WDR is already defined in line 104, so need not to be re-defined in line 140 and in Table 1		Accept. Removed redefinition.	
OV_E_008	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	149		Remove extra "and" i.e. "emissions, removals estimation and reporting."		Reject. The first 'and' links emissions and removals as one item in the list	
OV_E_009	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	156	159	Sentence is difficult to comprehend. Please revise and maybe split into two sentences.		Accept. Paragraph redrafted simplifying the text.	

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_010	Ambulkar, Archis	Overview	158		Term FAO used for first time here and should be defined.		Accept. "FAO" has been defined.	
OV_E_011	BALO AKAKPO, Oladé	POLICY RELEVANCE	144	145	It will be useful to get KP supplement providing Think tank or platform to share experience and best practices		Noted.	
OV_E_012	Guomundsson, Jon	overview	footnot 5	last paragr	In defination of WDR: "...drainage is the direct human-induced lowering of the soil water table" should be "...drainage is the direct human-induced lowering of the soil water table" i.e. change "lowing" to "lowering"		Accept. Changed "Lowing" to "Lowering"	
OV_E_013	Kasimir Klemedtsson, Åsa	Overview	109		Table 1. FMRL is mentioned for the first time in the document, it may need an explanation.		Accept. Text added as footnote 7: "The FMRL is a value of annual net emissions and removals from Forest Management, against which the net emissions and removals reported for Forest Management during the second commitment period will be compared for accounting purposes. Guidance on how to construct the FMRL is provided by the Appendix II to the Decision 2/CMP.6. An overview of approaches, methods and elements used in construction of FMRLs is provided in Section 2.7.5.1 of this supplement. "	
OV_E_014	Kasimir Klemedtsson, Åsa				Table 1. The text beginning with "For FM, Parties can choose" about HWP and FMRL, this text is technical, and difficult to understand if reading this dokument for the first time.		Reject. The text closely follows the text of Decision 2/CMP.7.	
OV_E_015	Kasimir Klemedtsson, Åsa				Table 1. "Subsequent removals..." Does this mean that forest revegetation after disturbance is not accounted until reaching the same level as before the event (storm)? Which may take 50 years.		Reject. The text is based on Decision 2/CMP.7	

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_016	Kasimir Klemedtsson, Åsa				Table 1. The activity applies to all lands that have been drained since 1990...- This text is unclear "have been drained" does it means the first year of draining virgin land or is redraining and management of ditches on already drained areas also included. However these organic soils are already included into FM, CM or GM. However unclear.		Reject. The text is based on Decision 2/CMP.7	
OV_E_017	Kasimir Klemedtsson, Åsa			110	Table 1. A net-net basis, could have been explained here		Accept with modification. "Net-net" accounting will be defined in the Glossary.	
OV_E_018	Kasimir Klemedtsson, Åsa		144		The supplement aims to provide policy-neutral operationalization of political agreement in CMP, which makes the guidelines policy oriented and not policy-neutral, however the technical parts are mostly neutral.		Accept. Text redrafted to : "provide neutral scientific operationalization..." (i.e. delete policy)"	
OV_E_019	Lund, H. Gyde	0	109	110	Table 1 - Repeat column headings on each page that the table occurs.		Accept. Column head now appears on each page.	
OV_E_020	Lund, H. Gyde	0	109	110	Table 1 1st Column "Treatment of emissions from harvest and conversion of forest plantations to nonforests" Consider changing 'nonforest' to 'other land uses'. If you retain, define 'nonforest'.		Accept .Replaced with "non-forest land" as used in Decision 2/CMP.7.	
OV_E_021	Lundblad, Mattias	0	36	36	Delete "...for the first commitment period, 2008-2012" since the 2003 GPG to my understanding do not explicitly mention that they are only to be used for the first commitment period.		Reject. Chapter 4 of the GPG-LULUCF provided guidance specifically for the rules applicable to the 1st commitment period and therefore the text should be retained.	

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_022	Lundblad, Mattias	O	45	45	Insert after Article 3.3: "for the second commitment period" since it was not sure that it should be like that after the first CP and to not prescribe any future accounting provisions.		Accept with modification. Redrafted sentence to read: 'For the second commitment period the activities included under Article 3.3 are Afforestation (A), Reforestation (R) and Deforestation (D) since 1990, which remain mandatory'	
OV_E_023	Lundblad, Mattias	O	85	86	Delete "...and the rules... of KP..." since the 2003 GPG to my understanding do not explicitly mention that they are only to be used for the first commitment period.		Reject. While Chapter 4 doesn't explicitly mention that the guidance is relevant to rules in the first commitment, it is a fact that it was written in the light of provisions of Marrakesh Accords or Decision 16/CMP.1 and many of these provisions have been changed by Decision 2/CMP.7 etc.	
OV_E_024	Lundblad, Mattias	O	122	122	In row O 38 it is stated that these KP supplement do not update the section in GPG LULUCF on projects but here it is stated that it will deal with it.		Accept. Added footnote 20 clarifying the coverage of the KP Supplement.	
OV_E_025	Nielsen, Ole-Kenneth	Overview	48	48	Is it really necessary to copy all the definitions of the activities as a footnote? Generally the readability is negatively affected when the footnotes take up more space on a page than the main text! Also, if it is kept, it is strange that WDR is not included.		Reject. It is convenient to add the definitions in the footnotes rather than the main text	
OV_E_026	Nielsen, Ole-Kenneth	Overview	109	110	Under "Treatment of natural disturbances" and in the column for 2/CMP.7 under bullet 4, it is stated that the information should be provided in the NIR for 2015. I assume the meaning is the NIR submission in 2015 for the year 2013.		Accept. Replaced 'for' by 'due in the year'	

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_027	Nielsen, Ole-Kenneth	Overview	143	178	It is very strange that this subchapter is called "Policy relevance". Many of the bullets do not have anything to do with the heading and some bullets just seem superfluous, e.g. bullet 4 and 7. It should be considered to simply delete this subchapter as it does not bring any added value.		Reject. Previous inventory reports have had this section and it is useful to draw the Plenary's attention to these issues	
OV_E_028	Nziramanga, Norbert		171	174	Suggest reword to clarify that only emissions from salvage logging would be accounted for in the case that salvage logging is carried out and all emissions are accounted if there is landuse change, "On the disturbance provisions, provides guidance that all emissions and removals on land affected would need to be removed from accounting unless they are from salvage logging or there is land-use change."		Accept. Text redrafted.	
OV_E_029	Petersson, Hans	0.8			After natural disturbances, the Party should provide information on that no land-use change has occurred. Methods for monitoring any future land use changes should be established. However, what happens in the future if such land use change occurs – does this disqualify the natural disturbances mechanism? And is it decided that no land use change “is allowed” after a natural disturbances?		Reject. Unnecessary to say, and could be viewed as inconsistent with the next bullet point.	

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_030	Petersson, Hans	0.9			The box, Other changes: "Parties shall report and account for all emissions from conversion of natural forests to planted forests"; This statement refer to FM and all emissions are by default reported under FM. The conversion of natural forests to plantation is normally connected with a removal of the standing natural forest and a new generation trees are planted. Thus, no removal is connected to the conversion. So why is this text added? Finally, its stated that this chapter does not consider accounting but still the word accounting is used. This statement requires a specific and thereby costly monitoring design and therefore it would be appropriate to clarify why this information is required.		Reject. The text is there because on para 5 in the Annex to Decision 2/CMP.6. Line 147 says that accounting is considered <i>in so far as accounting rules need to be reflected in guidance on emissions and removals estimation and reporting</i>	
OV_E_031	Poddey, Eike	3	109		Table 1: To improve clarity it could be helpful to add in the second line "second commitment period" to 2/CMP.7 and "first commitment period" to 16/CMP.1.		Accept. CP1 and CP2 have been added.	
OV_E_032	Sheppard, Margaret	Overview	14	21	The document will be easier to follow if there is a brief table of contents for the entire document as well as each chapter, rather than separate detailed tables of contents for each chapter only.		Reject. It has been decided to have separate TOCs for each individual Chapters.	
OV_E_033	Sheppard, Margaret	Overview	33	41	This paragraph makes clear why the KP Supplement is necessary for LULUCF.		Noted.	
OV_E_034	Sheppard, Margaret	Overview	112	141	This section is helpful. The references to the earlier guidance should help users who must consult both documents to perform their work.		Noted.	

<Review Comments by experts on Second Order Draft of Overview Chapter of KP Supplement>

ID	Expert (Last Name, First Name)	Chapter/Section	Start Line	End Line	Comment	Supplementary documents	Authors' action	Authors' note
OV_E_035	Sheppard, Margaret	Overview	146	149	The distinction between estimating emissions and removals and accounting is a good point to make.		Noted.	
OV_E_036	Siyag, Panna	O	130	130	Since "carbon stock changes" and "removals" are the same thing, text after the semi-colon should read "and estimation of carbon stock changes and non-CO2 emissions".		Accept. "Carbon stock changes and non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions" has been used throughout the KP Supplement.	
OV_E_037	wang, chunfeng	overview	142	142	I don't think the Table 2, which is just a table of contents, is not very useful and not giving additional value, I suggest the Table 2 may be revised or deleted.		Reject. The Table is useful to identify new topics covered in the KP Supplement.	