Appendix 4: Instructions on necessary information in each data field

IMPORTANT! - Data fields are classified into 2 categories.

<Mandatory fields> (Bolded in Table 1)

These fields must be specified since they are deemed essential in order for EFDB users to examine the applicability of the data record to their national GHG inventories. If you submit your data without appropriately specifying the mandatory fields, you will be prompted to fill those fields by the EFDB or by the Technical Support Unit of the IPCC-NGGIP.

<Optional fields>

These fields can stay empty, but it is recommended for you to provide as much information as possible also in these fields in order to facilitate EFDB users' consideration.

Table 1 (pages 2-4) provides guidance in detail on the necessary information in each data field.

Table 1 Instructions on necessary information in each data field

Data Field	Instructions
Administrativ	e information
Data	MANDATORY FIELDS
Provider Data Provider Country	These fields will be automatically filled with the information you gave when you registered yourself as a data provider.
Data Provider Contact	You are encouraged to show your e-mail address. If you wish, however, you can conceal your e-mail addresses from EFDB users by removing the tick in the checkbox. In this case, ipcc-efdb@iges.or.jp will be shown in this field so as to enable EFDB users to contact you (the data source) via Technical Support Unit of the IPCC-NGGIP.
Date calculated	This field can be left blank if the information is not available.
Technical info	ormation
Properties	MANDATORY FIELD
	See Appendix 5: Guidance on the "Properties" field.
	 "Properties" define what EFDB users might see as important information after the categorisation stage in searching or inputting data. This field consists of 5 sub-fields as shown below. Necessary information should be fully described in appropriate sub-fields. Technologies/Practices
	Parameters/Conditions
	Region/Regional Conditions
	Abatement/Control Technologies
	• Others
Description	➤ Information to be included as properties varies from one source category to another. Detailed guidance is provided in Appendix 5 . MANDATORY FIELD
Description	 This field should provide enough description to tell the user basically what the value presented is, without being too wordy or generic. You should follow, to the extent possible, the terminology used in the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC Guidelines) and the report on Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (GPG2000).
Value	MANDATORY FIELD
	 Values should be precisely indicated. If the proposal is a range of value rather than a single value, it should be indicated as "10 – 20" for example. A range of value should be distinguished from uncertainty ranges. (Uncertainty ranges should be indicated in Upper and Lower Confidence Limit fields.)

The appropriate unit should be chosen from the drop-down list. If there is not any appropriate unit in the list, "New" button should be clicked to type the new unit in the field.
If the value is presented with such a unit that is not used in the <i>IPCC Guidelines</i> (e.g., barrel or gallon for petroleum) in the "Value" field above, you are encouraged to convert it into a value in unit commonly used in the <i>IPCC Guidelines</i> (e.g., tC/TJ for Carbon Emission Factors for Fuels) and indicate it in this field.
 If the proposed data fit an equation presented in the <i>IPCC Guidelines</i> or <i>GPG2000</i>, the following information should be clearly indicated for users' convenience. Equation number (if applicable) Page number <i>IPCC Guidelines</i> or <i>GPG2000</i>
 For example, "Equation 4.15 on Page 4.30 of the IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories" GPG2000 should be referenced when the same equation is presented in
 both of the <i>IPCC Guidelines</i> and the <i>GPG2000</i>. If no IPCC equation fits, it is desirable to refer to technical reference or source of data where equation is found and can be used.
 If the proposed data fit a worksheet of the <i>IPCC Guidelines</i> (Vol.2, Workbook), correct worksheet numbers should be indicated for users' convenience. (For example, "Worksheet 4-1, Sheet 1 of 2") If the data are not applicable to any IPCC worksheets, then this field should be "Not applicable".
MANDATORY FIELD
 Reference should be made precisely. E.g, scientific literature, official national inventory reports, etc.
MANDATORY FIELD
> Technical reference should be quoted fully and precisely.
MANDATORY FIELD
It should be indicated in which language the technical reference is available.
You are encouraged to provide the abstract in English of the technical reference in order to enable EFDB users to better understand the nature of the data.
MANDATORY FIELD
> If no information on the upper confidence limit is available,
"Unknown" should be ticked.Do not confuse with a range of value which should be indicated in "Value" field.

_

¹ Confidence limits give the range within which the underlying value of an uncertain quantity is thought to lie for a specified probability. This range is called the confidence interval. The *IPCC Guidelines* suggest the use of a 95% confidence interval which is the interval that has a 95% probability of containing the unknown true value. (Chapter 6 "Quantifying Uncertainties in Practice", *GPG2000*)

Lower	MANDATORY FIELD
confidence	
limit (95%	If no information on the lower confidence limit is available, "Unknown" should be ticked.
confidence	 Do not confuse with a range of value which should be indicated in
interval)	"Value" field.
Data quality	If data quality ratings have been made by yourself according to your
	own standard, the information can be quoted here. The explanation of
	the standard used should be also provided. No new quality rating scheme will be developed specifically for the
	EFDB.
Distribution	> If applicable, appropriate information should be chosen from the drop-
shape	down list.
Data quality	Reference should be indicated if it is different from the technical
reference	reference presented above.
Other information on	 Other information relevant to the data quality, if any. For example, clarification of the following points could be given.
data quality	 Are the measurement techniques including raw data validated and/or
data quanty	verified?
	Are the modelling techniques including supporting data validated
	and/or verified?
	• Is the extrapolation from the model assumptions or measurement
	conditions to annual emissions factors sufficiently treated?
Usage/Review	v information
Measurement	These fields will appear only when the data provider choose
technique/	"Measured" as "Type of parameter".
standard Periodicity of	You are highly encouraged to provide appropriate information (e.g., description about representativeness of sampling) unless it is not
measurement	available at all.
	In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the
External	field "Measurement technique/standard".
quality control	Titta Witabarement teeminque/stariaara.
l nertormed	• Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with
performed	• Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards?
Date of	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the
1	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement".
Date of	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and
Date of	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability
Date of	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and
Date of measurement Comments from Data	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field.
Date of measurement Comments	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional
Date of measurement Comments from Data	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate.
Date of measurement Comments from Data	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate. You can also provide information on further improvement need to be
Date of measurement Comments from Data	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate. You can also provide information on further improvement need to be done and describe what measures could be taken to improve its
Date of measurement Comments from Data	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate. You can also provide information on further improvement need to be done and describe what measures could be taken to improve its accuracy and applicability.
Date of measurement Comments from Data	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate. You can also provide information on further improvement need to be done and describe what measures could be taken to improve its accuracy and applicability.
Date of measurement Comments from Data Provider Comments	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate. You can also provide information on further improvement need to be done and describe what measures could be taken to improve its accuracy and applicability. In the case that you have not directly consulted the original author, it
Date of measurement Comments from Data Provider	 Are measurement techniques/standards used comparable with national or internationally recognised standards? In particular, clarification of the following points should be given in the field "Periodicity of measurement". Have measurements been made on multiple systems or sites and over sufficient time and range of conditions to capture the variability of emissions and to provide a stable annual average? Any other information relevant to the proposed data can be provided in this field. For example, you are highly encouraged to provide additional description of emission factor development where needed to elaborate. You can also provide information on further improvement need to be done and describe what measures could be taken to improve its accuracy and applicability. In the case that you have not directly consulted the original author, it should be mentioned in this field.