Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories



Version 1 as of 6 February 2024

LIVESTOCK CATEGORIES 3.A USERS' GUIDEBOOK

IPCC Inventory Software, version 2.90

Compiled by:

Technical Support Unit IPCC Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

This Guidebook is prepared by IPCC TFI TSU. It has not been a subject to the formal IPCC review process Please submit your feedback to <u>ippc-software@iges.or.jp</u>

Contents

I.	Introduction	4
II.	Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Sector	6
II.1	General	9
II.1.	1 Use of multiple tiers for reporting	9
II.1.	2 Reporting of Subdivisions	
II.1.	3 "Uncertainty" and "Time Series data entry"	11
II.1.	4 Livestock	13
III.	Livestock Manager	14
III.1	Geographical Zones	15
III.2	Livestock Characterisation	16
III.3	Manure Management Systems	19
3.A	Livestock	22
3.A	Livestock Population (3.A.1 & 3.A.2)	
	TIP	
3.A	Average Daily Feed Intake (3.A.1 & 3.A.2)	
	3.A Average Daily Feed Intake (3.A.1 & 3.A.2) - IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified)	
	3.A Average Daily Feed Intake (3.A.1 & 3.A.2) - IPCC Tier 2 (Detailed)	
3.A.1	Enteric Fermentation - CH ₄	
3.A.2	Manure Management	
3.A.	2 Manure Management – CH ₄	
3.A.	2 Manure Management - Direct N ₂ O	
3.C.6	Indirect N2O emissions from Manure Management	48
Annex I:	Mapping between the IPCC Inventory Software and the UNFCCC ETF Reporting Tool	52
CRT v	visualization tables in the IPCC Inventory Software	57
How	v to read mapping tables	58
Deta	ailed mapping between the Software and the UNFCCC ETF Reporting Tool	

Tables

Table 1.	Livestock-related categories included in the AFOLU sector of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines	7
Table A.1.1	Mapping of livestock categories between the Software and the CRT	53
Table A.1.2	Mapping of Manure Management Systems between the Software and the CRT	56
Table A.1.3	Illustration of Visualized CRT table 3.A (Enteric Fermentation) in the Software	57
Table A.1.4	Example: How to read mapping between the Software and the UNFCCC CRT	59
Table A.1.5	Example for Dairy Cattle Mapping	61
Table A.1.6	Automatic Reporting of Notation Keys in the Agriculture Sector of the CRT	62

Figures

Figure A.1.1	Designating Tier 2 for Choice of Method for Cattle
Figure A.1.2	Flowchart of Relationship between Livestock Manager and Reporting in CRT

Abbreviations

2006 IPCC Guidelines	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
2019 Refinement	2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
ААР	annual average population
AD	activity data
С	carbon
CC	carbon content
EF	emission factor
GCV	gross calorific value
Gg	gigagram
GHG	greenhouse gas
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPCC TFI-TSU (or TSU)	Technical Support Unit of the IPCC Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
kPa	kilopascal
1	litre
m ³	cubic meters
MMS(s)	Manure Management System(s)
NGHGI	national GHG inventory
TFI	IPCC Task Force on National Greenhous Gas Inventories
ТЈ	terajoule
Wetlands Supplement	2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands

I. Introduction

<u>Goal</u>

The guidebook for the IPCC Inventory Software (*Software*) is produced by the Technical Support Unit (TSU) of the IPCC Task Force on National Greenhous Gas Inventories (TFI) to support inventory compilers in the use of the *Software* for the preparation of national greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories through the description of the complete procedure from activity data (AD) organization and input, to emission factor (EF) selection and input, to GHG estimation and reporting.

Software users must be familiar with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines methods and read the *Software*'s manual (downloadable from the "Help" menu) before going through this guidebook. This guidebook does not replace guidance provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

<u>Scope</u>

The guidebook covers all methodological tiers and approaches provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines and the Wetlands Supplement¹ to estimate anthropogenic GHG emissions and removals from each inventory category as well as to deal with cross-cutting elements. Elements of the 2019 Refinement² are introduced in limited cases, where needed to enable interoperability between the Software and the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for CRT.

<u>Structure</u>

Inventory preparation for each category, and each associated GHG, is described in this guidebook. Each section provides practical information to help the user enter information and estimate GHG emissions and removals for one or more categories from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines³. Multiple categories (e.g. electricity generation and non-ferrous metals) are grouped together when the underlying instructions are the same for entering information in the *Software*. Table 1 below provides the definitions of various categories, as well as a hyperlink to the relevant section of the guidebook where further information may be found.

Each section is then presented with a parallel structure. General information on the category and gas(es) covered is provided, along with the relevant equations from the *2006 IPCC Guidelines* used to estimate GHG emission and removals in the *Software*. The section then introduces the worksheet(s) contained in the *Software* that are to be used to enter relevant activity data, emission factors and other parameters with a "User's work Flowchart" to help illustrate the user's series of steps to enter this information. Data may be entered either within a single nation-wide aggregate (i.e. "country name" geographical zone⁴ or "unspecified") or within a national disaggregation such as administrative units (e.g. provinces, regions, states) or production units (e.g. companies, facilities, or any other aggregation according to which the user collects AD). Finally, the guidebook elaborates on the relevant activity data and emission factor input, and highlights how results are presented.

Finally, a word on selection of Tiers:

The *Software* provides functionalities -calculation worksheets and data managers- to prepare estimates according to any of the methodological tiers for which IPCC provides equations. Thus, in this Guidebook the following definitions are used to indicate the methodological tier of the relevant equations, and the correspondence with tiers in an NGHGI:

IPCC Tier 1 refers to the IPCC Tier 1 equations and default EFs/parameters.

Furthermore, recognizing that the 2006 IPCC Guidelines allow reporting estimates produced with a Tier 3 userspecific⁵ methodology, Tier 1 equations can be used to enter AD and Implied Emission Factor(s), as calculated by dividing the Tier-3 estimated GHG emission with the underlying AD required by the IPCC Tier 1 equation(s), to reproduce the estimated Tier 3 emissions.

IPCC Tier 2 refers:

- ✓ either to the IPCC Tier 2 equations, with IPCC default values or user-specific EFs/parameters, different from IPCC Tier 1 equations in the level of stratification and/or in the variables/parameters;
- ✓ or, when a Tier 2 Equation is not provided, to the IPCC Tier 1 equation and user-specific EFs/parameters (e.g. category 1.A.3.d water-borne navigation).

¹ Elements derived from the Wetlands Supplement are clearly distinguishable because of the liliac colour used to mark those.

² Elements derived from the 2019 Refinement are clearly distinguishable because of magenta colour used to mark those.

³ In few instances, denoted by magenta colour, from the 2019 Refinement.

⁴ Please note that in other categories the sub-national stratification has been implemented within *subdivisions* while for category 3.A it has been implemented within *geographical zones*.

⁵ User-specific methodologies need anyhow to be accordant with IPCC *good practice* to satisfy the Transparency, Completeness, Consistency, Accuracy and thus Comparability reporting principles.

IPCC Tier 3 is the IPCC methodology different in the level of stratification and/or in the variables/parameters, from the IPCC Tier 1 and Tier 2 methodologies.

Tips

Stratification⁶ of variables⁷ used to calculate GHG emissions according to IPCC methodologies is a key element to promote accuracy and precision of estimates. Thus, the *Software* allows an unlimited input of elements for each of the variables and allows any combination of those.

Stratification is actually implemented in a two-way, by subdividing the entire category, in segments (geographical zones) and applying a single methodological tier, or subdividing the category in segments and applying different methodological tiers to different segments. Which means that within a category, those segments for which data are available -e.g. a specific technology for which EFs are known- are singled out⁸ while all remaining are reported within a single aggregation⁹, as e.g. *unspecified*¹⁰.

However, the *Software* allows to enter each combination of variables, e.g. geographical zone/livestock category/subcategory/subcivision/MMS, only once. A way to further disaggregate such a combination across time series is through using e.g. the MMS name with a time-prefix. For instance, where the emission rate of an MMS changes across time, the addition of a prefix that indicates the MMS before and after a certain date where the change in the emission rate occurred, allows the user to implement such technological evolution within the current structure of stratification of the variables (e.g. *pre-year* Y and *post-year* Y MMS X).

Interoperability with the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for the Common Reporting Tables (CRT)

The *Software* has been upgraded to be interoperable with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) electronic reporting tool for the Common Reporting Tables (CRT) under the Enhanced Transparency Framework of the Paris Agreement.¹¹ In practice, that means that users of the *Software* can estimate GHG emissions and CO₂ removals for categories and gases that are required to be reported pursuant to the UNFCCC CRT. Once data are entered into the *Software*, users wishing to use these data to facilitate reporting to the UNFCCC must generate a file in the *Software* (in JSON format) that may then subsequently, through a separate UNFCCC platform, be uploaded and further processed through the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for CRT.

A separate Guidebook, titled <u>IPCC Inventory Software: UNFCCC Interoperability- CRT Export Quick Start</u> <u>Guide</u>, has been developed to assist users in generating the JSON file for upload to the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for CRT. Annex I illustrates the mapping of activity data and GHG estimates for categories/gases from the *Software* to the corresponding UNFCCC CRT category/ies.

⁶ The larger the number of strata, the more accurate and precise the estimates are.

⁷ Stratification is the act of sorting data into distinct groups or layers.

⁸ By applying a higher tier method

⁹ By applying a lower tier method

¹⁰ This does not apply to variables required by IPCC Tier 1 method.

¹¹ As requested by Parties in decision 5/CMA.3, paragraphs 19 and 20.

II. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Sector

The Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Sector integrates the previously separated *Agriculture* and *Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry* sectors. This integration recognizes that the processes underlying greenhouse gas emissions and removals, as well as the different forms of terrestrial carbon stocks, can occur across all types of land and that often the same practices influence both Agriculture and Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry. This approach is intended to improve consistency and completeness in the estimation and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals.

This Guidebook is limited to categories in the *Software* exclusively related to livestock, i.e. 3.A.1 - EntericFermentation, 3.A.2 - Manure Management, $3.C.6 - Indirect N_2O$ emissions from manure management.

IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

Table 1. Livestock-related categories included in the AFOLU sector of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines¹²

Categories	Definitions	Source/Sink				
3.A - Livestock	Methane emissions from enteric fermentation and methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from manure management.					
3.A.1 – Enteric Fermentation	Methane emissions from herbivores as a by-product of enteric fermentation (a digestive process by which carbohydrates are broken down by micro-organisms into simple molecules absorption into the bloodstream). Ruminant animals (e.g., cattle, sheep) are major sources with moderate amounts produced from non-ruminant animals (e.g., pigs, horses).					
3.A.1.a - Cattle	Methane emissions from dairy cows and other cattle.					
3.A.1.a.i – Dairy cows	Methane emissions from cattle producing milk for commercial exchange being grown for dairy purposes.					
3.A.1.a.ii – Other cattle	Methane emissions from all non-dairy cattle including cattle kept or grown for meat production, draft animals, and breeding animals.					
3.A.1.b - Buffalo	Methane emissions from buffalo.	Theorem Mensee				
3.A.1.c - Sheep	Methane emissions from sheep.	Livestock Manager				
3.A.1.d - Goats	Methane emissions from goats.	3.A - Livestock Population				
3.A.1.e - Camels	Methane emissions from camels.	3.A - Average Daily Feed Intake				
3.A.1f - Horses	Methane emissions from horses.	3.A.1 - Enteric Fermentation - CH ₄				
3.A.1g – Mules and Asses	Methane emissions from mules and asses.					
3.A.1.h - Swine	Methane emissions from swine.					
3.A.1.j - Poultry	Methane emissions from poultry. (this category has been added for interoperability with the UNFCCC ETF reporting tool					
3.A.1.j – Other (please specify)	Methane emissions from other livestock (e.g. alpacas, llamas, deer, reindeer, etc.).					
3.A.2 – Manure management	Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure under low or managed in a confined area (e.g. dairy farms, beef feedlots, and swine and poultry far manure management systems.	xygen or anaerobic conditions. These conditions often occur when large numbers of animals are ms), where manure is typically stored in large piles or disposed of in lagoons and other types of				
3.A.2.a - Cattle	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from dairy cows and other cattle.	Livestock Manager				
3.A.2.a.i – Dairy cows	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from	3.A - Livestock Population				
	dairy cows.	3.A - Average Daily Feed Intake				
3.A.2.a.ii – Other cattle	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from other cattle.	3.A.2 - Manure Management – CH ₄				
3.A.2.b - Buffalo	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from buffalo.	3.A.2 - Manure Management - Direct N ₂ O				

¹² as refined by the 2019 Refinement for those categories relevant for the interoperability with the UNFCCC ETF reporting tool

8 | Page

IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

Categories	Definitions	Source/Sink
3.A.2.c - Sheep	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from sheep.	
3.A.2.d - Goats	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from goats.	
3.A.2.e - Camels	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from camels.	Livestock Manager
3.A.2.f - Horses	Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from horses.	3.A - Average Daily Feed Intake

poultry including chicken, broilers, turkeys, and ducks.

mules and assess.

other livestock.

manure management systems

swine.

Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from

Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from

Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from

Methane and direct nitrous oxide emissions from the decomposition of manure from

Indirect N₂O emissions from N volatilisation in forms of NH₃ and NO_X from

3.A.2 - Manure Management – CH₄

3.A.2 - Manure Management - Direct N₂O

3.C.6 - Indirect N₂O emissions from Manure Management

Note: The category tree in the *Software* reflects the categories included in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, as refined by the 2019 Refinement for those categories relevant for interoperability with the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for CRT, plus those added by the *Wetlands Supplement*. Categories from the 2019 Refinement are shown in this Guidebook, and in the *Software*, in a magenta colour.

Livestock Users' Guidebook

3.A.2.g - Mules and Asses

3.A.2.j – Oher (please specify)

3.C.6 - Indirect N₂O emissions from manure management

3.A.2.h - Swine

3.A.2.i - Poultry

II.1 General

II.1.1 Use of multiple tiers for reporting

The 2006 IPCC Guidelines provide methodological guidance to estimate anthropogenic GHG emissions and removals according to three methodological tier levels: Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3. Where Tier 1 is the common default methodological approach that the IPCC Guidelines provide to all inventory compilers, higher tiers are based on user-specific and multivariable-dependent rates of GHG emissions and CO₂ removals, and likely requires data with a higher spatial and temporal resolution. Tier 2 may apply a different methodological approach, or the Tier 1 methodology approach with user-specific values for parameters and EFs, and may further disaggregate the population of activity data to apply condition-specific values of parameters and EFs¹³. Tier 3 is generally¹⁴ a user-specific methodology that maintain consistency with IPCC good practice, although it is designed to better cope with the user-specific statistical population for which GHG emissions/removals are estimated or it is based on the direct monitoring of the source of GHG emissions.

Given that the *Software* can calculate GHG emissions and removals for each source/sink category using any of the methodological tiers provided in the 2006 *IPCC Guidelines* and its *Wetlands Supplement*, the user may apply a single methodological tier to the entire category or may use instead a combination of different tiers according to the significance of subcategories and data availability.

In doing so the user shall transparently describe in any accompanying inventory report the original methodology and the way it has derived the implied EF.

While, as described above, the user may use a combination of tiers within a single source category, it may also wish to apply multiple tiers to the same activity as a means of quality control through comparative analysis (e.g. Tier 1 *vs* Tier 2 or Tier 2 *vs* Tier 3). Although this is a legitimate use of the *Software*, those comparative analysis shall be done in a separate database not used for reporting the GHG inventory, so avoiding to double count GHG emissions from a source.

Where a user-specific Tier 3 method, which cannot be calculated by the *Software*, is used to prepare estimates of GHG emissions that need to be included in the NGHGI for completeness, the user can use the relevant calculation worksheet(s) to report it as it follows:

- 1. enter in the *Software* the AD required by the IPCC default methodology.
- 2. back-calculate CO₂ and/or CH₄ and/or N₂O IEFs¹⁵, as the total emissions of the relevant GHG calculated through the user-specific Tier 3 method divided by the AD required at bullet 1 above, and enter those in the *Software*.
- 3. the *Software* then reproduces the user-specific Tier 3 GHG estimates.

A dedicated geographical zone could be entered, e.g. specifically titled as "Tier 3" with any other identifying information, as appropriate.

 $^{^{13}}$ For example, the Tier 2 approach for CH_4 emissions from Manure management requires to calculate the Volatile Solid excretion rate to estimate the EF.

 $^{^{14}}$ In some cases, IPCC also provides a Tier 3 methodology, as for instance for CH_4 and N_2O emissions from Road transportation, although this is not the case for source-categories considered in this Guidebook.

¹⁵ Implied Emission Factors

II.1.2 Reporting of Subdivisions

GHG inventories can be calculated at multiple levels of aggregation (e.g. farm, companies, regional, national) to meet various domestic and international needs. Thus, *Geographical zones* can be entered for all source categories in the Livestock-related categories (3.A, 3.C.6) in the AFOLU sector.

Where the user is interested in calculating GHG estimates at a single level of aggregation, e.g. national, in <u>Column</u> <u>|S|</u> either *unspecified* is to be selected from the dropdown menu or the single univocal name/code is to be entered e.g. the *country name*. Where the user is interested in calculating GHG estimates for multiple geographical zones, the univocal name/code for each geographical zone will be entered in <u>Column |S|</u>, users have full flexibility to name those geographical zones based on user-specific circumstances. Nevertheless, care shall be taken to ensure that s geographical zones do not overlap so causing a double counting of some emissions.

Every calculation worksheet¹⁶ includes filters to enable the user to view data entry, by subdivision.

Example: geographical zones



Example: visualizing filtered results



¹⁶ Those can also be referred as TABs of the Software

II.1.3 "Uncertainty" and "Time Series data entry"

To enter data on *Uncertainties* or to enter *Time Series data*, calculation worksheets have dedicated tabs that can be accessed through buttons placed either at the lower right-hand side or next to the *Fuel type* button. Users are prompted to learn about how to use these functionalities in the *User Manual* of the *Software* (accessible at *Help* tab).

Time series data entry:

In each worksheet, there is a button "Time Series data entry" as shown in the screenshot below.

Application Database Inventor	ry Year Wo	debasts Danate Taala	Evend Round Administrate 180	inda Mala						_ # X
2006 IPCC Categories - 7	Fuel Core	Time Series Data Entry							- 0 X	
Freezey	Workshee	1.A.1.a.i - Electricity Generation	n							
1.A - Fuel Combustion Activities 1.A 1 - Energy Industries 1.A 1.a - Main Activity Electricit 1.A 1.a - Electricity Genera	Sector: Categor Subcate Sheet:	Sector Energy Category Fuel Combut Category code 1A.1.a.1-B Sheet Fuel Consurt	stion Activities ectricity Generation option Data							2015
- 1.A.1.a.ii - Combined Heat	Data	-								-
1.A.1.a.iii - Heat Plants	Fuel Ty	Parameter Consumption (Man	is, Volume or Energy Unit)							
-1.A.1.b - Petroleum Refining		Suffernumpton (Mar	is, Volume or Energy Unit) (T1(1)(a) (0)(7)(2)						-	
E- LA LC - Manufacture of Solid P		City Power Plant	Lionite Power Plants	300	300	3001	300 300			
1 A 1 a ii - Other Exercised		Energy Company 1	Natural Gas (Dec)	200	200	200	200 200	_		Total consumption
E-1A2 - Manufacturing Industries an		Energy Company 7	Residual Fuel Oil	800	800	800	800 800	_		(UT)
-1.A.2.a - Iron and Steel		Regional Payer Bart	Matural Cas Door Danta	10000	10000	10000 1	0000 30000			
- 1.A.2.b - Non-Ferrous Metals		Hegronar Power Plant	Autoral Gas FOND Plans	10000	10000	10000				TC - C * CF
-1.A.2.c - Chemicals	City	Unspecified	Anthracile	111	111	111	111 111			3600 📝
- 1.A.2.d - Pulp, Paper and Print	Ene		Charcoal	400	400	400	400 400			9600 📝
-1.A.2.e - Food Processing, Bev	Ene									32320 📝 🖬 🄊 🗙
-1.A.2.f - Non-Metallic Minerals	Reg									10000 32
-1.A.2.g - Transport Equipment	Uns									2963.7 😭
-1.A.2.h - Machinery	Uns									11800 🛠
-1.A.2.1 - Mining (excluding fuels										2
- 1.A.2] - Wood and wood produ	Total									
-1.A.Z.k - Construction										70283.7
1.A.2.1 - Textile and Leather										
D 1A2 Terrorst										
Ci 1 A 3 a Civil Aviation										
-1A3ai - International Avia	1 C.	This worksheet allows OnlaC/Onla	Vito coov/paste data. Only editable cells	ran he overwritten who	en nastron					Final Manager Time Series data anter
					/	-				The sense and eng.
and the second se	-				C	Export to	Excel Ing	port from Excel	Save current row	
2006 IPCC Guidelines 🛛 🗣 🖗	Worksheet						-			
		Time Series							* 9	1
				Consumption (Mass	Volume or En	ergy Unit)				
		300								
	-	250								
	User notes	200								• •
		150								to CO2 Exchange
		100	-							A COLEGNARIA)
		50								
		00 1000 1000	1000 2000	2226	20112	2014	2016	2018	2421 2422	009 0110 0110 0111 0111 0111 0111 0111
		1770 1772	1999 2000	2003	6934	2714	6913	2018	2022	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
						-				
				City Power Plant	Lignite Power	Plants				
	Save					Gas	CARDON DION	DC (LOL)		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

To use this functionality then users:

1. Select in TAB Application, sub-TAB Inventory Year, the time-period of the inventory:

Application preferences						
General	Database	Worksheets	Reports	Inventory Year	Grid	
General	Base year fo	or assessment o	Start inver End inver	ntory year 2005 ntory year 2025 y in trend 2005	5 •	
					OK Cancel Apply	

and click on button *Apply* to save it.

- 2. select parameters for which the user wants to upload a time series of data from the *Parameters* bar. This list of parameters (e.g. consumption, conversion factors, EFs, etc.) depend on variables contained in the specific worksheet.
- 3. press the button *Export to Excel*, name the file and export it.
- 4. open exported file and for the entire time series, or for any fraction of it, enters data or make changes to data already present.
- 5. once data are compiled, the file is imported back into the Software by clicking the button Import from Excel.

Uncertainty:

Information on the underlying sources of uncertainty, and the default uncertainty values is available in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines in the section titled Uncertainty Assessment of each source category.

Limited to calculation worksheets where emissions for a category are estimated, clicking the button *Uncertainties*, a pop-up window opens where users can enter lower and upper ranges of uncertainties for both AD and EF.

Real Application Database Inventory Year A	Administrate Worksheets To	ols Export/Import Reports Win	dow Help				- 6
2006 IPCC Categories 👻	Livestock population Aver	age Daily Feed Intake - Tier 2 (Detailed)	Average Daily Feed Intake - Tier	2 (Simplified) CH	14 Emission Factor for Enteric	Fermentation - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions	from Enteric Fermentation
-2.H - Other -2.H.1 - Pulp and Paper Industry -2.H.2 - Food and Beverages Industry 2.H.3 - Other (please specify) 3 - Agriculture, Forestry, and Other Land Use -3.A. Livestock	Worksheet Sector: Agricultu Category: Livestoc Subcategory: 3.A.1.a.i Sheet: CH4 Em Data	e. Forestry and Other Land Use //Enteric fermentation Dairy Cows sions from Enteric Fermentation					1990
B 3.A.1 - Enteric Fermentation	Gas METHANE (CH4)	~					
□ 3.A.1.a - Cattle 3.A.1.a i - Dairy Cows							
- 3.A.1.a.ii - Other Cattle 3.A.1.b - Buffalo 3.A.1.c - Sheep	Geographical zor	e Livestock Subcategory		Number of Animals (head)	Emission Factor [kg CH4/(head yr)]	CH4 Emissions (Gg CH4/yr)	
	Z		· · · ·	1070	EF(T)	CH4 = N(T) * EF(T) * 10^-6	
3.A.1.f - Horses	Rangeland				×		3 3 7
····3.A.1.g - Mules and Asses	Total		Uncortainties				-
3.A.1.h - Swine			Uncertainties				0
B 3.A.2 - Manure Management		Category 3.A.1.a.i - Dairy Co	ows				
B-3.A.2.a - Cattle		Sheet CH4 Emissions fro	m Enteric Fermentation				
3.A.2.a.i - Dairy cows							
3.A.2.a.II - Other cattle		Activitiy Data Uncertainties					
		Lower 0.00 %	Upper	+0.00 % 🗢			
		Emission Factors Uncertainties					
		Gas METHANE (CH4)		~			
3.4.2.g - Mules and Asses		0.00 m	•				
-3.A.2.i - Poultry		Lower 0.00 %	• Upper	+0.00 % 🐨			
3.A.2.j - Other (please specify)							
□ 3.B - Land	~	ОК		Cancel			
< III A R1. Forest land							
1//wheek and an							
worksheet notes	*				Livestock	Manager Uncertainties	Time Series data entry

Please note that the Uncertainty Analysis has not yet been enhanced in this *Software* version, so this section is going to be revised in the next future.

II.1.4 Livestock

Livestock emits CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O. However, CO₂ emissions from livestock are not estimated because annual net CO₂ emissions are assumed to balance to zero – i.e. the CO₂ photosynthesized by feed plants in annual biomass, which is not counted in the land categories, is returned to the atmosphere as respired CO₂ by the animals within the year.

Livestock manure management is a source of CH_4 emissions, likely substantial when manure is managed in a liquid form, and N_2O emissions, especially relevant when manure is managed in a solid form.

Unlike, IPCC default methodology (Tier 1) that requires a <u>basic characterisation</u> of livestock populations, IPCC Tier 2 requires an <u>enhanced characterisation</u> by sex-age-purpose in subcategories (e.g. beef cows) and, if data permits, in subdivisions (e.g. further stratified by age/ purpose/diet).

Livestock characterisation, to be entered in the Livestock Manager, is the first step when preparing GHG estimates of livestock-related categories i.e. 3.A.1 - Enteric Fermentation - CH₄, 3.A.2 - Manure Management, 3.C.6 - Indirect N₂O emissions from Manure Management.



by Livestock Category, Subcategory, Subdivision

III. Livestock Manager

The Livestock manager aims at stratifying the livestock in more homogeneous subpopulations. It is composed by three TABs where users are required to enter information on:

- 1. the **Geographical Zones**, of which the territory inventoried is composed. Users can enter a number of geographical zones or just a single one only. This information is used by the software to assign a default CH₄ EF value¹⁷ or a default Methane Conversion Factor value for emissions from Manure Management; and anyhow allows the user to stratify its inventory with different populations to which applying different methodological tier level according to data availability.
- 2. the Livestock Characterisation, requires users to enter a characterisation for each livestock population. The *Characterisation* users enter can be an *IPCC basic* or an *IPCC enhanced* or a *User-specific* or a combination of all of, or any of, those options. The *Software* then populates livestock-related calculation worksheets according to the livestock sub-categories/subdivisions entered in the *Livestock Characterisation*. A single *Characterisation* applies to all geographical zones.
- 3. the Manure Management System, requires users to select among IPCC default MMSs those to be applied in the inventory as well as to input any additional user-specific MMS. A single MMSs *Selection* applies to all geographical zones and all livestock populations.

Note: Information is to be entered in the **Livestock Manager** only once (in any inventory year) and applies to all livestock related categories:

Note: Information input the **Livestock Manager** can be thereafter modified, although the deletion of any information previously entered likely causes losses of data in the Livestock-related calculation worksheets where the information deleted was applied.

¹⁷ 2019 Refinement stratifies it according to IPCC Climate Zones instead.

III.1 Geographical Zones

In this TAB, users:

- a. Enter geographical zones (entire country may be reported under a single zone);
- b. Select from drop-down menu average annual temperature (AAT) relevant to each geographical zone;

Note: Information on Geographical Zones is to be entered only once (in any inventory year)

Example of data input, a user enters 4 geographical zones: Rangeland, Intensive Livestock farming, Rural Livestock farming, Coastal prairies, with the following characteristics:

- *Rangeland*, natural grassland on highlands (cool climate, AAT of 14°C) extensively grazed, with manure left on pasture MMS = Pasture/Range/Paddock);
- ✓ *Intensive livestock farming*, farms in lowlands (temperate climate, AAT of 20°C) with high-productive husbandry systems, with a number of MMS specific of the different livestock populations;
- ✓ Rural livestock farming, farms in lowlands (temperate climate, AAT of 20°C) with low-productive husbandry systems, with a number of MMS specific of the different livestock populations;
- ✓ *Coastal land*, improved grazed coastal grasslands (warm climate, AAT of 26°C) with low-productive husbandry systems, with manure left on pasture MMS = Pasture/Range/Paddock:

Livestock Manager					×
Geographical zones	Livestock Characterisation	Manure Management System			
				Save Undo	Close
G	eographical zone	Annual Average Temperature [°C]		Remark	
Coastal prairies			26	improved, grazed in late autumn, winter, early spring	
Intensive livestoo	k farming		20	stall-fed, high productive	
Rangeland			14	natural, grazed in late spring, sammer, early autumn	
M Rural livestock fa	arming		0	stall-fed, low productive	X
*					

Note that: same livestock population alternates between Rangeland and Coastal land (6 months each)

Recall: enter information in the row with the asterisk on the left-hand side -i.e the bottom-most row- to add each geographical zone with its information.

Recall: *name* and AAT of a geographical zone can be re-entered without data loss in the calculation worksheet. However, where the ATT is re-entered, IPCC default CH₄ EF value for Manure Management may need to be reentered given it is temperature-dependent, where not re-entered the software keeps the value previously entered. **Recall**: click on button SAVE before closing the TAB, otherwise information entered will be lost.

III.2 Livestock Characterisation

2006 IPCC Guidelines - Section 10.2 - contain guidance on livestock characterisation¹⁸.

In this TAB users:

- a. enter additional livestock populations, not yet listed in, if any;
- b. for each livestock population for which GHG emissions need to be estimated for any subcategories/subdivisions, select predefined subcategories/subdivisions from the drop-down menu and/or enter user-specific livestock subcategories and, if any, subdivisions.

Note: Information on Livestock Characterisation is to be entered only once (in any inventory year)

Livestock Manager						×		
Geographical zones	Livestock Characterisation	Manure Management System						
				Save	Undo	Close		
\sim		Category						
Dair Cows								
		Livestock Sub	category					
Dairy Cov	/5							
		Livestock Su	ubdivision					
Grigia								
		Livestock Sub	category					
Ature Da	iry Cows							
		Livestock Su	ıbdivision					
High-r	High-producing cows that have calved at least once and are used principally for milk production							
Low-p	Low-producing cows that have calved at least once and are used principally for milk production							
		Livestock Sub	category					
					~			
		Category						

Recall:

- enter additional livestock in the row with the asterisk on the left-hand side -i.e. the bottom-most row- 1 row for each additional livestock;
- click on # to open the lower levels¹⁹ of the TAB; in this case clicking on # on the left-hand side of the category level opens the subcategory level and clicking on # on the left-hand side of the subcategory level opens the subdivision level. Once clicked #, it changes to =;
- enter information in the row with the asterisk on the left-hand side -i.e. the bottom-most row- to add subcategories and subdivisions for the livestock population. In the figure above, for category *Dairy Cows* the green arrow indicates the bottom row where to enter an additional subcategory -1 row for each subcategory- and the red arrow indicates the bottom row where to enter an additional subdivision -1 row for each subdivision-;
- click on button SAVE before closing the TAB, otherwise information entered will be lost.

Note: where the characterisation of a livestock population among those listed does not have any subcategories and subdivisions no data enter is requested in the Livestock Characterisation TAB.

¹⁸ IPCC Basic characterization and IPCC Enhanced characterization are provided in Table 10.1

¹⁹ To access the lower levels of the livestock population characterisation (stratification)

Example of data input, a user has four geographical zones: Rangeland, Intensive Livestock farming, Rural Livestock farming, Coastal prairies, with the following livestock populations:

Geographical Zone	Category	Subcategory	Subdivision
	Dairy Cows	Dairy Cows	Grigia
	Other Cattle	Other Cettle	Chianina
	Other Cattle	Other Cattle	Grigia
Rangeland		Matana Erra	Breeding Ewes
		Mature Ewes	Milking Ewes
Coastal prairies	<u>61</u>		Intact Males
	Sneep	Growing Lambs	Castrates
			Females
		Other Mature Sheep	NA
	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	High-producing cows
			Feedlot-fed Cattle (>90% concentrate)
		Crowing Cattle	Calves pre-weaning
	Other Cattle	Growing Cattle	Growing/Fattening post-weaning
			Replacement dairy heifers
			Cows to produce offspring for meat
		Other Mature Cattle	Multipurpose cows
Intensive Livestock farming			Bulls for breeding
			Sows in gestation
		Mature Swine	Sows nursing
			Boars for breeding
	Swine		Nursery
		Crowing Swing	Finishing
		Growing Swine	Gilts
			Growing Boars
	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	Low-producing cows
			Feedlot-fed Cattle (>90% concentrate)
		Growing Cattle	Calves pre-weaning
		Glowing Cattle	Growing/Fattening post-weaning
Purel Livestock forming	Other Cattle		Replacement dairy heifers
Kulai Livestock laining	Other Cattle		Cows to produce offspring for meat
		Other Mature Cattle	Multipurpose cows
		Guier mature Gattle	Bulls for breeding
			Bullocks for draft power
	Swine	NA	NA

as compiled in the Livestock Characterisation TAB:

Livestock Manager					×
Geographical zones	Livestock Characterisation	Manure Management System			
				Save	Undo Close
		Orteran			
Dairy Cows		Category			<u>^</u>
Daine Con		Livestock Subcat	legory		
Daily Cov	15				
Grinia		Livestock Sub			
tengia					
		Livesteck Subset	220.00		
Mature Da	in/ Cows	LIVESIUCK SUDCA	legoly		
- matale De			division -		
List	reducing cours that have and	Livestock Sub	uivision		
High-p	roducing cows that have calve	ed at least once and are used prin ed at least once and are used prin	cipally for milk production		
*			orpany for mink production		
		Livestock Subcat	legory		
*					
		Catanony			
Other Cattle		Category			
		Liverteals Octors			
Other Cat	le	Livestock Subca	legory		
Other Cat			44 - 4 - 4		
Ching		Livestock Sub	IVISION		
Grigia	110				
*					
		Livestock Subcat	tegory		
Growing (Cattle				
		Livestock Sub	livision		
Calve	s pre-weaning				
Repla	cement dairy heifers				
Growi	ng / fattening cattle post-wear	ing			
Feedle	ot-fed cattle on diets containin	g > 90 % concentrates			

	2.4	Livestock Subcat	legory		
Other Mat	ure Cattle				
		Livestock Sub	division		
Cows	used to produce offspring for	meat			
Bulle	used for more than one produ used principally for breeding r	cuon purpose: milk, meat, draft purposes			
Bulloc	ks used principally for draft p	ower			
*					v
User-defined Livestock	categories will show under 3.A	.1.j and 3.A.2.j respectively (Other -	please specify)		

III.3 Manure Management Systems

2006 IPCC Guidelines -Vol.4, <u>Table 10.18</u>- contain definitions of IPCC default Manure Management Systems - MMS(s)-.

In this TAB, users enter any additional user-specific MMS at the bottom of the list.

Only those MMS that are listed in this TAB will be available for use in livestock-related calculation worksheets.

In the example below an additional MMS has been entered: *covered anaerobic lagoon*, with its description²⁰ -to enter a description of the MMS is very important to be sure you recall its characteristics, as well as to ensure that other users are informed about it.

Note: Information on Manure Management Systems is to be entered only once (in any inventory year) Recall:

- enter additional MMS in the row with the asterisk on the left-hand side -i.e. the bottom-most row- 1 row for each additional MMS;
- click on button SAVE before closing the TAB, otherwise information entered will be lost.

²⁰ The cover is generally constructed of a high-density polyethylene (HDPE), which joins up with the lagoon lining and forms a 'seal', ensuring gas and odours remain under the cover.

IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

Example of data input, a user has four geographical zones: Rangeland, Intensive Livestock farming, Rural Livestock farming, Coastal prairies, with the following livestock populations, to which the following MMSs apply:

Geographical Zone	Category	Subcategory	MMS	
	Dairy Cows	Dairy Cows		
Rangeland	Other Cattle	Other Cattle		
0		Mature Ewes	Pasture/Range/Paddock	
Coastal prairies	Sheep	Growing Lambs		
		Other Mature Sheep		
	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	Uncovered anaerobic lagoon	
Intensive Livestock farming	Other Cattle	Growing Cattle	Pit storage	
		Other Mature Cattle	Solid storage	
Intensive Livestock farming	S	Mature Swine	Come damage big bases	
	Swine	Growing Swine	Covered anaerobic lagoon	
	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	Deep Bedding	
Rutal Livestock farming		Growing Cattle	Dry lot	
	Other Cattle	Other Mature Cattle	Composting – passive windrow	
	Swine	NA	Liquid slurry	

as compiled in the Manure Management System TAB:

Liv	vestock Manager		×	<						
G	eographical zones Livestock Characterisation	n Manure Management System								
		Save Undo	Close							
	System	Definition								
	Daily spread	Manure is routinely removed from a confinement facility and is applied to cropland or pasture within 24 hours of excretion.								
	Solid storage	The storage of manure, typically for a period of several months, in unconfined piles or stacks. Manure is able to be stacked due to the presence of a sufficient amount of bedding material or loss of moisture by evaporation.								
	Dry lot	A paved or unpaved open confinement area without any significant vegetative cover where accumulating manure may be removed periodically.								
	Liquid/Slurry	Manure is stored as excreted or with some minimal addition of water in either tanks or earthen ponds outside the animal housing, usually for periods less than one year.								
	Uncovered anaerobic lagoon	A type of liquid storage system designed and operated to combine waste stabilization and storage. Lagoon supernatant is usually used to remove manure from the associated confinement facilities to the lagoon. Anaerobic lagoons are designed with varying lengths of storage (up to a year or greater), depending on the climate region, the volatile solids loading rate, and other operational factors. The water from the lagoon may be recycled as flush water or used to irrigate and fertilise fields.								
	Pit storage below animal confinements	Collection and storage of manure usually with little or no added water typically below a slatted floor in an enclosed animal confinement facility, usually for periods less than one year.								
	Anaerobic digester	Animal excreta with or without straw are collected and anaerobically digested in a large containment vessel or covered lagoon. Digesters are designed and operated for waste stabilization by the microbial reduction of complex organic compounds to CO2 and CH4, which is captured and flared or used as a fuel.								
	Burned for fuel	The dung and urine are excreted on fields. The sun dried dung cakes are burned for fuel.								
	Cattle and Swine deep bedding	As manure accumulates, bedding is continually added to absorb moisture over a production cycle and possibly for as long as 6 to 12 months. This manure management system also is known as a bedded pack manure management system and may be combined with a dry lot or pasture.								
	Composting - invessel	Composting, typically in an enclosed channel, with forced aeration and continuous mixing.								
	Composting - Static pile	Composting in piles with forced aeration but no mixing.								
	Composting - Intensive windrow	Composting in windrows with regular (at least daily) turning for mixing and aeration.								
	Composting - Passive windrow	Composting in windrows with infrequent turning for mixing and aeration.								
	Poultry manure with litter	Similar to cattle and swine deep bedding except usually not combined with a dry lot or pasture. Typically used for all poultry breeder flocks and for the production of meat type chickens (broilers) and other fowl.								
	Poultry manure without litter	oultry manure without litter May be similar to open pits in enclosed animal confinement facilities or may be designed and operated to dry the manure as it accumulates. The latter is known as a high-rise manure management system and is a form of passive windrow composting when designed and operated properly.								
	Aerobic treatment	The biological exidation of manure collected as a liquid with either forced or natural aeration. Natural aeration is limited to aerobic and facultative ponds and wetland systems and is due primarily to photosynthesis. Hence, these systems typically become anoxic during periods without sunlight.								
•	Covered anerobic lagoon	The cover is generally constructed of a high-density polyethylene (HDPE), which joins up with the lagoon lining	×							
1			YV	11						

3.A Livestock

The Software allows to calculate livestock emissions according to three methodological approaches:

- ✓ IPCC Default (Tier 1) for every livestock population, based on activity data by emission factor
- ✓ IPCC Tier 2 (IPCC Tier 2 Simplified) for every livestock population, based on dry matter intake;
- ✓ IPCC Tier 2 (IPCC Tier 2 Detailed) for cattle/buffalo and sheep only, based on gross energy need²¹;

Once entered data required in Livestock Manager, second step to calculate emissions from livestock is the compilation of information in Livestock Population worksheets for both 3.A.1 - Enteric Fermentation – CH₄ and 3.A.2 – Manure Management and indirectly for category 3.C.6 - Indirect N2O emissions from Manure Management.



²¹ an energy model, which uses energy components of diet and livestock performance parameters (e.g. weight gain, milk yields, activity, etc.)

3.A Livestock Population (3.A.1 & 3.A.2)

Allows to select the tier level and to input annual average population data for each animal subdivision. In addition, where one of the two IPCC Tier 2 levels (*Detailed* or *Simplified*) is selected, the worksheet allows to input all parameters used to estimate average daily gross energy intake.

Guidance on data collection and analysis for livestock population characterisation is provided in Section 10.2.

Note: Information input in Livestock Population worksheet of category 3.A.1 (Enteric Fermentation) are automatically transferred in Livestock Population worksheet of category 3.A.2 (Manure Management) and vice versa.

Calculation worksheet Livestock Population requires users to select the *methodological tier level* to be applied to the relevant livestock population and to enter the *annual average population* and the *typical animal mass* of such population. Depending on the livestock population and associated methodological tier level selected users enter required parameters to calculate the daily gross energy intake.

Methodological tier level selection is operated in <u>Column |Method|</u> by selecting the desired methodological tier level from the dropdown menu:



selecting the methodological tier level (example from Dairy Cows livestock category)

In particular:

All livestock species all methodological tier levels

IPCC Tier 1 (IPCC Default)

- 1. <u>Column |Z|</u>: Select *Geographical zone* from dropdown menu²²;
- 2. <u>Column |Tsc|</u>: Select *Livestock Subcategory* from dropdown menu²³. If no subcategory has been entered in Livestock Characterisation TAB of the relevant animal species, select *Unspecified*;
- 3. <u>Column |Tsd|</u>: Select *Livestock Subdivision* from dropdown menu²⁴. If no subcategory has been entered in Livestock Characterisation TAB of the relevant animal species, select *Unspecified*;
- 4. <u>Column |AAP|</u>: Select either *Specified* or *Calculated* (Eq. 10.1) for *Annual Average Population*²⁵ (AAP), heads. Thus:
 - > Specified, enter heads value in Column |N(T)|

	Number of days alive (DA)	Number of animals produced annually (NAPA)	N(T) = DA* (NAPA/365) or specified
Specified			1000

²² The dropdown menu contains all *Geographical zones* entered in Geographical Zones TAB.

²³ The dropdown menu contains all *subcategories* entered in Livestock Characterisation TAB of the relevant animal species.

²⁴ The dropdown menu contains all *subcategories* entered in Livestock Characterisation TAB of the relevant animal species.

²⁵ Where possible, inventory compilers use population data from official national statistics, including statistics reported by country to the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) and stored in its <u>FAOSTAT</u>.

Seasonal births or slaughters may cause the population size to expand or contract at different times of the year which will require the population numbers to be adjusted accordingly.

E.g. broiler chickens are typically grown approximately 60 days before slaughter. Estimating N(I) as the number of grown and slaughtered over the course of a year would greatly overestimate the population, as it would assume each lived the equivalent of 365 days. Instead, one should estimate the average annual population as the number of animals grown divided by the number of growing cycles per year. For example, if broiler chickens are typically grown in flocks for 60 days, an operation could turn over approximately 6 flocks of chickens over the period of one year. Therefore, if the operation grew 60,000 chickens in a year, their average annual population would be 9,863 chickens. For this example the equation would be: Annual average population = 60 days * 60,000 / 365 days / yr = 9,863 chickens

Further, in a growing or decreasing population most animals are alive for only part of a complete year, although their contribution shall be included in the *Annual Average Population*, regardless of were slaughtered or die of natural causes. Also in this case the application of Equation 10.1 allows to calculate the correct value, in heads, to be entered as *Annual Average Population*.

➤ Calculated:

- a. <u>Column |(DA)|</u>: Enter *Number of days alive* of the relevant Livestock Subcategory/Livestock Subdivision combination;
- b. <u>Column |(NAPA)|</u>: Enter *Number of animals produced annually* of the relevant Livestock Subcategory/Livestock Subdivision combination;
- c. Column | N(T) |: Software calculates²⁶ Annual Average Population heads



 <u>Column |TAM|</u>: Select *Typical Animal Mass* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg per head. It may be used at IPCC Tier 1 to scale EFs²⁷.

All livestock species with IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) methodological level

All steps described for IPCC Tier 1 (IPCC default) applies to IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified), and in addition:

6. <u>Column |DE%|</u>: Select *Digestible energy* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, percentage²⁸.

 $^{^{26}}$ Calculation of the AAP applies also to populations that stay within a subcategory/subdivision for a portion of the year only while moving to other subcategory(ies)/subdivision(s) for the remaining portion. For instance, a population of X heads of *Mature Ewe* spends 4 months in highland rangeland and the rest of the year in lowland pastures. So, the population can be split in 2 subpopulations, e.g. *Rangeland* vs

in highland rangeland and the rest of the year in lowland pastures. So, the population can be split in 2 subpopulations, e.g. *Rangeland* vs *Pasture*, and the *AAP* for the 2 subpopulation calculates as $\frac{X}{120}$ and $\frac{X}{245}$ respectively. Where one of the two phases occurs outside of the geographical boundaries of the inventory -e.g. the lowland pasture is in another country- reporting will be limited to the subpopulation within the geographical boundaries of the inventory -e.g. the highland rangeland.

²⁷ Page 10.24 - One approach for developing an approximate emission factor is to use the IPCC Default CH_4 emissions factor for Enteric Fermentation from an animal with a similar digestive system and to scale it using the ratio of the weights of the animals raised to the 0.75 power.

²⁸ *Feed digestibility* (DE%) - <u>Table 10.2</u> - is the portion of gross energy (GE) in the feed not excreted in the facees, and it has to be expressed as the percentage (%) of GE or DMI

IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

Example of data input, a user has four geographical zones: Rangeland, Intensive Livestock farming, Rural Livestock farming, Coastal prairies, with the following livestock populations and associated MMSs to which the following Methodological Tiers apply:

Geographical Zone	Categor y	Subcategory	Subdivision	MMS	Tier	
	Dairy Cows	Dairy Cows	Grigia			
			Chianina		IPPC Default	
	Other Cattle	Other Cattle	Grigia			
Rangeland		M. E	Breeding Ewes	Pasture/Range/Paddock	IPCC Tier 2	
0		Mature Ewes	Milking Ewes		(Detailed)	
Coastal prairies			Intact Males	Dairy cows: Daily spread		
	Sheep	Growing Lambs	Castrates		IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified)	
			Females		(
		Other Mature Sheep	NA		IPPC Default	
	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	High-producing cows	Uncovered anaerobic lagoon		
			Feedlot-fed Cattle (>90% concentrate)			
		Growing Cattle	Calves pre-weaning	Pit storage	IDCC Time 2	
	Other Cattle	0	Growing/Fattening post-weaning		(Detailed)	
Intensive Livestock farming			Replacement dairy heifers			
			Cows to produce offspring for meat			
		Other Mature Cattle	Bulls for breeding	Solid storage		
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			Sows in gestation			
		Mature Swine	Sows nursing			
			Boars for breeding			
	Swine		Nursery	Covered anaerobic lagoon	IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified)	
		Crowing Swing	Finishing	encoreica anacione agoon		
		Growing Swille	Gilts			
			Growing Boars			
	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	Low-producing cows	Deep Bedding		
			Feedlot-fed Cattle (>90% concentrate)			
		Growing Cattle	Calves pre-weaning	Dry lot		
Rutel Livestock farming		-	Growing/Fattening post-weaning		IPCC Tier 2	
	Other Cattle		Replacement dairy heifers		(Simplified)	
			Cows to produce offspring for meat			
		Other Mature Cattle	Multipurpose cows	Composting - passive		
		Other Mature Cattle	Bulls for breeding	windrow		
			Bullocks for draft power			
	Swine	NA	NA	Liquid slurry	IPPC Default	

While for different livestock species with IPCC Tier 2 methodological level

Dairy Cows (3.A.1.a.i & 3.A.2.a.i) livestock population has three alternative methodological tiers: IPCC Default (Tier 1), Tier 2 (Simplified)²⁹, Tier 2 (Detailed)³⁰.

limited to category 3.A.2.a.i, once *Tier 2 (Simplified) Method* has been selected data are entered as follows: All steps described for IPCC Default (Tier 1) and IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for all livestock species apply to IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for Dairy Cows, and in addition:

- 7. Column |Milk|: Select Average daily milk production from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg day-1;
- 8. <u>Column |Fat</u>|: Select Fat content of milk from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, %;

for both categories 3.A.1.a.i & 3.A.2.a.i, once Tier 2 (Detailed) Method has been selected data are entered as follows:

All steps described for IPCC Default (Tier 1) and IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for all livestock species as well as for IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for Dairy Cows apply to IPCC Tier 2 (Detailed), and in addition:

- 9. Column |Ca|: Select Activity coefficient from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless³¹;
- 10. Column |Tw|: This step applies only to open-lot fed cattle in colder climates for which Cfi³² is calculated applying Equation 10.2. Enter Mean daily temperature in winter season, °C, and the Software calculate the Coefficient for NEm(in_cold) for open-lot fed cattle in colder climates in Column | Cfi(in_cold) |;
- 11. Column |Cfi|: Select Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for maintenance from dropdown menu or enter a userspecific value, dimensionless³³;
- 12. Column |%|: Select Percentage of female that give birth in a year from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, %;
- 13. <u>Column |Cpregnancy|</u>: Select *Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for pregnancy* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless³⁴;
- > Other Cattle (3.A.1.a.ii & 3.A.2.a.ii) and Buffalo (3.A.1.b & 3.A.2.b) livestock population has three alternative methodological tiers: IPCC Default (Tier 1), Tier 2 (Simplified)35, Tier 2 (Detailed)36.

limited to category 3.A.2.a.ii, once Tier 2 (Simplified) Method has been selected data are entered as follows:

All steps described for IPCC Default (Tier 1) and IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for all livestock species apply to IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for Other Cattle, and in addition:

- 7. <u>Column |WG|</u>: This step applies only to growing cattle. Enter Average weight gain per day value³⁷, kg day⁻¹;
- 8. <u>Column | Milk |</u>: Select Average daily milk production from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg day-1;
- 9. Column |Fat|: Select Fat content of milk from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, %;

for both categories 3.A.1.a.ii & 3.A.2.a.ii, once Tier 2 (Detailed) Method has been selected data are entered as follows:

All steps described for IPCC Default (Tier 1) and IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) as well as for IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for Other Cattle apply to IPCC Tier 2 (Detailed), and in addition:

- 10. <u>Column |WG|</u>: This step applies only to growing cattle. Enter Average weight gain per day value³⁸, kg day⁻¹;
- 11. Column |C|: This step applies only to growing cattle. Select Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for growth from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless;
- 12. <u>Column | MW|</u>: This step applies only to growing cattle. Enter *Mature weight* value³⁹, kg;

²⁹ Based on the dry matter intake (DMI).

³⁰ Based on the dry matter intake (DMI).

³¹ A value between 0 and 1 - Table 10.5

³² Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for maintenance (NEm)

³³ A value between 0 and 1

³⁴ A value between 0 and 1

³⁵ Based on the dry matter intake (DMI).

³⁶ Based on the dry matter intake (DMI).

^{37 2006} IPCC Guidelines contain values in Table 10A.2 ³⁸ 2006 IPCC Guidelines contain values in Table 10A.2

^{39 2006} IPCC Guidelines contain values in Table 10A.2

Note that: that it refers to the body weight of the subcategory/subdivision in moderate body condition at maturity or the target weight related to stage of $growth^{40}$)

- 13. <u>Column | Hours</u>: This step applies only to draft bullock. Enter *Average number of hours worked per day* value⁴¹, kg;
- 14. Column |Ca|: Select Activity coefficient from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless⁴²;
- 15. <u>Column |Tw|</u>: This step applies only to open-lot fed cattle in colder climates for which Cfi⁴³ is calculated applying <u>Equation 10.2</u>. Enter *Mean daily temperature in winter season*, °C, and the *Sofwtare* calculate the *Coefficient for NEm(in_cold)* for open-lot fed cattle in colder climates in <u>Column |Cfi(in_cold)|</u>;
- 16. <u>Column |Tw|</u>: This step applies only to open-lot fed cattle in colder climates for which Cfi⁴⁴ is calculated applying <u>Equation 10.2</u>. Enter *Mean daily temperature in winter season*, °C, and the *Sofiwtare* calculate the *Coefficient for NEm(in_cold)* for open-lot fed cattle in colder climates in <u>Column |Cfi(in_cold)|</u>;
- 17. <u>Column |Cfi|</u>: Select *Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for maintenance* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless⁴⁵;
- 18. <u>Column | Milk |</u>: This step applies only to cow that are in lactation period. Select *Average daily milk production* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg day⁻¹;
- 19. <u>Column |Fat</u>]: This step applies only to cow that are in lactation period. Select *Fat content of milk* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, %;
- 20. <u>Column |%|</u>: This step applies only to cow. Select *Percentage of female that give birth in a year* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, %;
- 21. <u>Column | Cpregnancy |</u>: This step applies only to cow. Select *Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for pregnancy* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless⁴⁶;

⁴⁰ Since statistical offices may collect and report data on highly disaggregated population (e.g., bovines less than one year old or bovines aged under 8 months, cattle aged between one and two years old), hence, this parameter (i.e., mature weight) refer to target weight related to stage of growth. Herewith, the number of days needed for animals to reach from the beginning of growing stage to target weight of this growing stage should be taken into consideration to calculate the daily weight gain.

⁴¹ 2006 IPCC Guidelines contain values in <u>Table 10A.2</u>. It is calculated as the total number of hours worked by the population during its *number of days alive* (usually the entire year) divided by the number od days alive (usually 365).

⁴² A value between 0 and 1 - <u>Table 10.5</u>

⁴³ Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for maintenance (NEm)

⁴⁴ Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for maintenance (NEm)

⁴⁵ A value between 0 and 1 - <u>Table 10.4</u>

⁴⁶ A value between 0 and 1 - Table 10.7

Sheep (3.A.1.c & 3.A.2.c) livestock population has three alternative methodological tiers: Tier 1, Tier 2 (Simplified)⁴⁷, Tier 2 (Detailed)⁴⁸.

Once Tier 2 (Detailed) Method has been selected data are entered as follows:

All steps described for IPCC default (Tier 1) and IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified) for all livestock species applies to IPCC Tier 2 (Detailed), and in addition:

- 7. <u>Column |BWi|</u>: This step applies only to growing lamb. Enter *Alive bodyweight at weaning* value, kg;
- 8. <u>Column |BMf|</u>: This step applies only to growing lamb. Enter *Alive bodyweight at 1 year or at slaughtering*⁴⁹ value, kg;
- 9. <u>Column |WGwean|</u>: This step applies only to growing lamb. Enter *Total weight gain between birth and weaning* value, kg;
- 10. <u>Column |a|</u>: This step applies only to growing lamb. Select *Coefficient a to calculate Net Energy for growth* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless;
- 11. <u>Column |b|</u>: This step applies only to growing lamb. Select *Coefficient b to calculate Net Energy for growth* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless;
- 12. Column |Ca|: Select Activity coefficient from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, dimensionless⁵⁰;
- 13. <u>Column |Cfi|</u>: Select *Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for maintenance* from dropdown menu or enter a userspecific value, dimensionless⁵¹;
- 14. Column | Milk |: This step applies only to milking ewes. Enter Average daily milk production value, kg day-1;
- 15. <u>Column | EVmilk |</u>: This step applies only to milking ewes. Select *Energy required to produce 1 kg of milk* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, MJ kg⁻¹;
- 16. <u>Column |%|</u>: This step applies only to breeding ewes. Enter Percentage of female that give birth in a year value, %;
- 17. <u>Column | Cpregnancy |</u>: This step applies only to breeding ewes. Select to either *Specify* or *Calculate* the value, dimensionless⁵². Thus:
 - Specified, select Coefficient to calculate Net Energy for pregnancy from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value;
 - > Calculated, click on the icon on the right-hand side of the column on the calculation icon:



to open a new window where to calculate Cpregnancy, where the first step is to select the calculation method:

⁴⁹ In case slaughter occurs before 1-year old age.

⁴⁷ Based on the dry matter intake (DMI).

⁴⁸ Based on the dry matter intake (DMI).

 $^{^{50}}$ A value between 0 and 1 - $\underline{\text{Table 10.5}}$

 $^{^{51}}$ A value between 0 and 1 - $\underline{\mathrm{Table}}$ 10.4

⁵² A value between 0 and 1 - <u>Table 10.7</u>

Either <u>with equation at page 10.20</u>, this method applies only if the number of lambs born in a year divided by the number of ewes that are pregnant in a year exceeds 1.0⁵³ and is less than 2.0. In such a case the following steps apply:

¢	Cpregnancy X										
	Equation 10.13										
	Calculation method	Ratio of lambs born to ewes pregnant	Fraction of ewes giving single birth	Cpregnancy for single birth	Fraction of ewes giving double birth	Cpregnancy for double birth	Cpregnancy calculated				
		A	B = 1 - D	С	D = A - 1	E	H = B*C + D*E				
	IPCC 2006 GLs, Vol.4, p.10.20			0.077		0.126					

- a. <u>Column |B|</u>: Enter the ratio lambs born to ewes that gave birth $\frac{total \ lamb}{total \ ewes \ that \ gave \ birth}$, dimensionless⁵⁴;
- b. <u>Column |C|</u>: Keep the IPCC default or enter the user-specific value, dimensionless⁵⁵;
- c. <u>Column |C|</u>: Keep the IPCC default or enter the user-specific value, dimensionless⁵⁶;
- > or *by fractions*, and in such a case the following steps apply

Срі	xegnancy >									
	Equation 10.13									
	Calculation method	Fraction of ewes giving single birth	Cpregnancy for single birth	Fraction of ewes giving double birth	Cpregnancy for double birth	Fraction of ewes giving triple birth	Cpregnancy for triple birth	Cpregnancy calculated		
		В	С	D	E	F	G	H = B*C + D*E + F*G		
	By fractions		0.077		0.126		0.15	0		

- a. <u>Column |B|</u>: Enter the fraction of ewes that gives a single birth calculated as <u>ewes that give birth to a single</u>, dimensionless⁵⁷;
- b. <u>Column |C|</u>: Keep the IPCC default or enter the user-specific value, dimensionless⁵⁸;
- c. <u>Column |D|</u>: Enter the fraction of ewes that gives a double birth (twins) calculated as <u>ewes that give birth to twins</u>, dimensionless⁵⁹; <u>total ewes that gave birth</u>, dimensionless⁵⁹;
- d. <u>Column |E|</u>: Keep the IPCC default or enter the user-specific value, dimensionless⁶⁰;
- e. <u>Column |F|</u>: Enter the fraction of ewes that gives a triple birth (triplet) calculated as <u>ewes that give birth to triplet</u>, dimensionless⁶¹;

18. Column | Wool |: This step applies only to ewes. Enter Average Annual Wool Production per head value, kg yr-1;

19. <u>Column | EVwool |</u>: This step applies only to ewes. Select *Energy required to produce 1 kg of wool* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, MJ kg⁻¹;

- 55 A value between 0 and 1
- $^{\rm 56}$ A value between 0 and 1
- $^{\rm 57}$ A value between 0 and 1
- ⁵⁸ A value between 0 and 1
- $^{\rm 59}$ A value between 0 and 1

⁵³ If the number of lambs born in a year divided by the number of ewes that are pregnant in a year is less than or equal to 1.0, then the coefficient for single births can be used.

 $^{^{54}}$ A value between >1 and 2

⁶⁰ A value between 0 and 1

 $^{^{\}rm 61}$ A value between 0 and 1

TIP

Data to be entered for variables that require a daily value, namely:

- ✓ Average daily milk production,
- ✓ Average weight gain per day,
- ✓ Average number of hours worked per day

need to elaborated according to the population characterization entered. For instance:

- I. let's make the cases of two alternative characterisation of Mature Ewe (sheep) population:
- a. the population is reported within a single subcategory/subdivision -i.e. a single row in Livestock **Population** worksheet-, in this case the Annual Average Population is entered (Specified) in Column |AAP| and the value to be entered in Column |Milk| is calculated as the total milk produced⁶² by the ewe during its lactating period ⁶³ divided by the number of days in а vear (365);or daily milk production $(kg \, day^{-1}) * lactating period (days)$
 - 365 b. the population is reported in 2 subdivisions, Ewe at breeding and Ewe at lactating -i.e. two rows in Livestock **Population** worksheet-, in this case the Annual Average Population is calculated by the software on the basis of the number of days the Mature Ewe is in a such functional subdivision (*Calculated*) in <u>Column |AAP|</u> and the value to be entered in <u>Column | Milk |</u> is indeed the average milk production during the lactating period; or $\frac{\text{total milk production (kg)}}{\text{loct}}$
 - lactating period (days)
- II. let's make the cases of two alternative characterisation of Growing cow population:
 - a. the population is reported within a single subcategory/subdivision -i.e. a single row in Livestock **Population** worksheet-, in this case the *Average weight gain per day* to be entered is the total weight gain across the year divided by the number of days in a year (365); or total weight gain in a year (kg)
 - 365 b. the population is reported in 3 subdivisions, Calf (between 0 to 6 months), Heifer (between 6 to 12 months), yearling (between 1 to 2 years), -i.e. three rows in Livestock Population worksheet-, in this case for each subdivision the Average weight gain per day to be entered is the total weight gain across the period in which the animal is in the subdivision divided by the number of days of the growing period; e.g. for Calf total weight gain from 0 to 6 months (kg)

183

Similarly, data to be entered for variables that require a percent value, namely

✓ Percentage of female that give birth in a year

need to elaborated according to the population characterisation entered. For instance, let's make the cases of two alternative characterisation of Mature Ewe (sheep) population:

- a. the population is reported within a single subcategory/subdivision -i.e. a single row in Livestock **Population** worksheet-, in this case the *Percentage of female that give birth in a year* is entered (Specified) in <u>Column</u> AAP and the value to be entered in Column 1% is calculated as the total milk produced by the ewe during its lactating period divided by the number of days in a year (365); or daily milk production $(kg \, day^{-1}) * lactating period (days)$
- 365 b. the population is reported in 2 subdivisions, Ewe at breeding and Ewe at lactating -i.e. two rows in Livestock **Population** worksheet-, in this case the *Annual Average Population* is calculated by the software on the basis of the number of days the Mature Ewe is in a such functional subdivision (Calculated) in Column |AAP| and the value to be entered in <u>Column | Milk |</u> is indeed the average milk production during the lactating period; or $\frac{total \ milk \ production \ (kg)}{k}$

lactating period (days)

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **Call** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

⁶² This can be calculated as the daily production during the lactating period multiplied by the length (i.e. days) of the lactating period

⁶³ Meat and wool breeds of sheep lactate for a shorter lactating period (e.g. 90–150 days) than dairy breeds (e.g. 120–240 days)

3.A Average Daily Feed Intake (3.A.1 & 3.A.2)

For IPCC Tier 2 methods, once entered data on Livestock Population next step is to enter information to calculate the Average Daily Feed Intake.



The average daily feed intake can be calculated either from the dry matter intake (*Simplified* approach - Equations 10.17/18a/18b for Cattle, generic equation -Feed Intake by Energy density of Feed- for all other livestock species) or calculating the daily energy need (*Detailed* approach - Equations at Table 10.3).

In the 2006 IPCC Guidelines the Detailed approach is provided for cattle, buffalo and sheep only, given these were the largest sources of GHG emissions from livestock.

Note: This step does not apply to IPCC Tier 1 method.

3.A Average Daily Feed Intake (3.A.1 & 3.A.2) - IPCC Tier 2 (Simplified)

This worksheet is available for each and every livestock species, including those entered by users. It applies to those livestock subcategories/subdivisions for which *Tier 2 (Simplified)* methodology has been selected in **Livestock Population** worksheet.

Note: Information input in Average Daily Feed Intake worksheet of category 3.A.1 (*Enteric Fermentation*) are automatically transferred in Average Daily Feed Intake worksheet of category 3.A.2 (*Manure Management*) and vice versa.

Depending on the livestock population, information is entered as follows:

Dairy Cows

- 1. <u>Column |GE|</u>: Select to either *Specify* or *Calculate* Gross Energy value, MJ day-1. Thus:
 - Specified, enter a user-specific value, and no further steps needed.
 - > Calculated, Software calculates it on the basis of information input in:
- 2. <u>Column |DMI|</u>: Select to either *Specify* or *Calculate* Feed Intake value, kg DM day⁻¹. Thus:
 - ➤ Specified, enter a user-specific value;
 - Calculated, Software calculates it on the basis of information input in Livestock Population worksheet by applying the following equations:
 - ✓ Growing and finishing Cattle <u>Equation 10.17</u>
 - ✓ Mature Beef Cattle Equation 10.18a
 - ✓ Mature Dairy Cattle Equation 10.18b
- 3. <u>Column |FED|</u>: Select *Energy density of feed* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, MJ (kg DM day)⁻¹;

Other Cattle

- 1. <u>Column |GE|</u>: Select to either *Specify* or *Calculate* Gross Energy value, MJ day-1. Thus:
 - Specified, enter a user-specific value, and no further steps needed.
 - > Calculated, Software calculates it on the basis of information input in:
- 2. <u>Column |NEma|</u>: Select *dietary net energy concentration* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, MJ kg DM⁻¹;
- 3. <u>Column |DMI|</u>: Select to either *Specify* or *Calculate* Feed Intake value, kg DM day-1. Thus:
 - ➤ Specified, enter a user-specific value;
 - Calculated, Software calculates it on the basis of information input in Livestock Population worksheet
- 4. <u>Column |FED|</u>: Select *Energy density of feed* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, MJ (kg DM day)⁻¹;

Other livestock

- 1. <u>Column |GE|</u>: Select to either *Specify* or *Calculate* Gross Energy value, MJ day-1. Thus:
 - Specified, enter a user-specific value, and no any further steps needed.
 - > Calculated, Software calculates it on the basis of information input in:
- 2. <u>Column |DMI|</u>: enter *Feed Intake* user-specific value, kg DM day-1.
- 3. <u>Column |FED|</u>: Select *Energy density of feed* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, MJ (kg DM day)⁻¹;

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **with a state of the state of**

3.A Average Daily Feed Intake (3.A.1 & 3.A.2) - IPCC Tier 2 (Detailed)

This worksheet is available for four livestock populations only: *Dairy Cows*, *Other Cattle*, *Buffalo*, *Sheep*. It applies to those subcategories/subdivisions for which *Tier 2 (Detailed)* methodology has been selected in Livestock **Population** worksheet.

Note: Information input in Average Daily Feed Intake worksheet of category 3.A.1 (*Enteric Fermentation*) are automatically transferred in Average Daily Feed Intake worksheet of category 3.A.2 (*Manure Management*) and vice versa.

Depending on the livestock population, information is entered as follows:

Dairy Cows/Other Cattle/Buffalo

1. <u>Column | NEm |</u>: Select *Cfi* parameter from dropdown menu -i.e. *Cfi* or *Cfi(in_cold)*-, MJ day-1.

The Software calculates all other parameters as well as Gross Energy, MJ day-1

Sheep

No data enter needed, the *Software* calculates all parameters as well as *Gross Energy*, MJ day⁻¹ **Recall**:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **vert** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

3.A.1 Enteric Fermentation - CH₄

Information

Methane is produced in herbivores (mostly ruminants) as a by-product of enteric fermentation and is released into the atmosphere through belching (Table 1).

<u>GHGs</u>

Enteric Fermentation source category emits the following GHGs:

CO ₂	CH_4	N_2O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃
	Χ					

IPCC Equations

- ✓ <u>IPCC Default (Tier 1)</u>: <u>Equation 10.19</u>
- ✓ <u>IPCC Tier 2 Simplified</u>: Equations <u>10.19</u> and <u>10.21</u>, *additional species-specific equations 10.17-10.18(a./b.)*
- ✓ IPCC Tier 2 Detailed: Equations 10.19 and 10.21, additional species-specific equations 10.2 .16

As explained in section **II.1.1 - Use of multiple tiers for reporting**, GHG estimates prepared with user-specific Tier 3 methods can be reported in the *Software* worksheets that implement IPCC Tier 1 equation.

Software Worksheets

The *Software* calculates CH₄ emissions using worksheets:

- ✓ Livestock Manager: contains data on stratification of the livestock population by geographical boundaries, species, subcategories, subdivisions, as well as on manure management systems utilized in the NGHGI.
- ✓ Livestock Population: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, the amount of the population and the typical animal mass, as well as a number of parameters for IPCC Tier 2 methodology to calculate the energy needs of animals.
- ✓ Average Daily Feed Intake: depending on the methodological tier selected calculates energy needs for each subcategory/subdivision combination.
- ✓ CH₄ EF Tier 2: depending on the methodological tier selected calculates for each subcategory/subdivision combination the EF on the basis of methane conversion factor (MCF)⁶⁴.
- ✓ CH₄ Emissions from Enteric Fermentation: contains EFs, either entered by the user or compiled by the *Software* at IPCC Tier 2, for each subcategory/subdivision combination and calculates CH₄ Emissions, in mass unit (Gg).

⁶⁴ percentage of feed energy converted to methane.

User's work Flowchart

Consistent with the key category analysis and the decision tree in Figure 10.2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, GHG estimates are calculated using a single methodological tier or by applying a combination of tiers according to the availability of AD and of user-specific and/or technology-specific EFs.

To ease the use of the *Software* as well as to avoid its misuse, for each source category, the users apply steps described in the following flowchart:



Step A, Livestock Manager users stratify the animal population by:

- ✓ Defining geographical zone and assigning an average annual temperature;
- ✓ Selecting predefined livestock subcategories and subdivisions from drop-down menu, and/or entering userspecific subcategories and/or subdivisions, and/or, where relevant, adding animal species and associated subcategories/subdivisions;

✓ Selecting manure management systems among those listed and/or, where relevant, adding user-specific MMSs.

Thus, for each population stratum – *i.e. livestock category/subcategory/subdivision in each geographical zone*

IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

Step B, worksheet Livestock population, enter in each row Annual Average Population and Typical Animal Mass data as well as information required to calculate daily gross energy need according to the selected tier methodology.

Step C, worksheets **Average Daily Feed Intake - Simplified** or **Average Daily Feed Intake - Detailed**, enter in each row additional information needed to calculate *average daily feed intake* of relevant livestock population/subcategory/subdivision. The two approaches differ on how the gross energy intake is calculated, either through an energy balance of performances and activities of the population (as maintenance, growth, activity, pregnancy, production) -i.e. Detailed-, or derived from the daily feed intake and its energy content -i.e. Simplified-.

Step D, worksheet **CH**₄ **emission factor for Enteric Fermentation - Tier 2**, for each row of data enter associated *methane conversion factor* and the *Software* calculates CH₄ EF from the percent of daily *gross energy* converted to methane.

Step E, worksheet **CH**₄ **emissions from Enteric Fermentation**, for IPCC Default (Tier 1) estimates only select from dropdown menu the EF or enter a user-specific value, for each row of data *Software* calculates CH₄ emissions. In addition total emissions are calculated in mass units (Gg). In addition, for each GHG, total emissions are calculated.

Activity data input

Guidance on data collection and analysis for livestock population characterisation is provided in Section 10.2.

Entering activity data is dealt in worksheet Livestock Population and average daily feed intake.

Emission factor input

Emission factor can be either entered in worksheet CH₄ Emissions from Enteric Fermentation or calculated in worksheet CH₄ Emission Factor from Enteric Fermentation - Tier 2.

Worksheet CH₄ Emission Factor from Enteric Fermentation - Tier 2 is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations entered in worksheet Livestock population. Then, for IPCC Tier 2 methodology only:

1. <u>Column |Ym|</u>: Select *Ym* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, percentage.

Constrained and a method water free makes - Inter 2 (Leasance)								1990	
				Per animal					
G				Gross energy (MJ/day)	Methane conversion factor, percent of gross energy in feed converted to methane (%)	CH4 Emission Factor (kg CH4/headlyr)			
	Z Y	Ts 7	Ts 🗸	GE	Ym	EF = (GE * (Ym / 100) * 365) / 55.65			
Intensive I	ivestock farming	Mature Dairy Cows [Enhanced Charac	High-producing cows that have calved	213.804	6.5	91.150	3		2
Rural live	stock farming		Low-producing cows that have calved	438.372	6.5	186.889	3		

Accordingly, the *Software* calculates *CH*₄ *Emission Factor*, kg CH₄ head⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

Worksheet CH₄ Emissions from Enteric Fermentation is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations entered in worksheet Livestock population. Then, for IPCC Default (Tier 1) methodology only:

1. <u>Column $|EF_{(T)}|$ </u>: Select $EF_{(T)}$ from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg CH₄ head⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

Live Vor Se Cal Sul Sh De Gi	Livestock population Average Daily Feed Intake - Tier 2 (Distilled) Average Daily Feed Intake - Tier 2 (Simplified) CH4 Emission Factor for Enteric Fermentation - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions tom Enteric Fermentation Used to the Charactery Development of								1990	
	Geographical zone	Livestock Subcategory	Livestock Subdivision	Number of Animals (head)	Emission Factor [kg CH4/(head yr)]	CH4 Emissions (Gg CH4yr)				
					EF(T)	CH4 = N(T) * EF(T) * 10^-6				
	Coastal prairies	Dairy Cows [Basic Characterisation]	Grigia	589,041.000	117.000		68.918	2		
	Intensive livestock farming	Mature Dairy Cows [Enhanced Characterisa	High-producing cows that have calved at lea	1,000,000.000	91.150		91.150	2		
	Rangeland	Dairy Cows [Basic Characterisation]	Grigia	410,959.000	72.000		29.589	2		2
	Rural livestock farming	Mature Dairy Cows [Enhanced Characterisa.	Low-producing cows that have calved at lea	1,000,000.000	186.889		186.889	2		
Te	ital						070 540			

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **California** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

Results

Accordingly, the *Software* calculates for each row of data CH₄ Emission, in mass unit (Gg), in worksheet CH₄ Emissions from Enteric Fermentation. In addition, total CH₄ emissions are calculated.

3.A.2 Manure Management

Management of manure can generate direct emissions of CH_4 and N_2O as well as indirect emissions of N_2O from volatilisation of NH_3 and NO_X , and N leaching and runoff. Manure includes both dung and urine produced by livestock.

<u>GHGs</u>

Manure Management source category emits the following GHGs:

CO ₂	CH ₄	N_2O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NF ₃
	Χ	X				

3.A.2 Manure Management – CH₄

Information

The decomposition of manure under anaerobic conditions (i.e., in the absence of oxygen), during storage and treatment, produces CH₄. These conditions occur most readily when large numbers of animals are managed in a confined area (e.g., dairy farms, beef feedlots, and swine and poultry farms), and where manure is disposed of in liquid-based systems.

IPCC Equations

CH₄ emissions are estimated by applying the following IPCC equations:

- ✓ IPCC Default (Tier 1): Equation 10.22
- ✓ IPCC Tier 2 Detailed: Equations 10.22, 10.23, 10.24, additional species-specific equations 10.2 .16
- ✓ IPCC Tier 2 Simplified: Equations 10.22, 10.23, 10.24, additional species-specific equations 10.17-10.18(a./b.)

As explained in section II.1.1 - Use of multiple tiers for reporting, GHG estimates prepared with user-specific Tier 3 methods can be reported in the *Software* worksheets that implement IPCC Default (Tier 1) equation.

Software Worksheets

The *Software* calculates CH₄ emissions using worksheets:

- ✓ Livestock Manager: contains data on stratification of the livestock population by geographical boundaries, species, subcategories, subdivisions, as well as on manure management systems utilized in the NGHGI.
- ✓ Livestock Population: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, the amount of the population and the typical animal mass, as well as a number of parameters for IPCC Tier 2 methodology to calculate the energy needs of animals.
- ✓ MMS Usage: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, MMSs used and the fraction of manure applied to each MMS.
- ✓ Average Daily Feed Intake: depending on the methodological tier selected calculates energy needs for each subcategory/subdivision combination.
- ✓ VS excretion per day Tier 2: depending on the methodological tier selected calculates for each subcategory/subdivision combination the EF on the basis of methane conversion factor (MCF)⁶⁵.
- ✓ CH₄ Emission Factor for Manure Management: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, maximum methane producing capacity and the Volatile solid excretion per day, based on which the Software calculates CH₄ EFs.
- ✓ CH₄ Emissions from Manure Management: contains EFs, either entered by the user or compiled by the *Software* at IPCC Tier 2, for each subcategory/subdivision combination and calculates CH₄ Emissions, in mass unit (Gg).

⁶⁵ percentage of feed energy converted to methane.

User's work Flowchart

Consistent with the key category analysis and the decision tree in Figure 10.3 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, GHG estimates are calculated using a single methodological tier or by applying a combination of tiers according to the availability of AD and of user-specific and/or technology-specific EFs.

To ease the use of the *Software* as well as to avoid its misuse, for each source category, the users apply steps described in the following flowchart:



Step A, Livestock Manager users stratify the animal population by:

- ✓ Defining geographical zone and assigning an average annual temperature;
- ✓ Selecting predefined livestock subcategories and subdivisions from drop-down menu, and/or entering userspecific subcategories and/or subdivisions, and/or, where relevant, adding animal species and associated subcategories/subdivisions;

✓ Selecting manure management systems among those listed and/or, where relevant, adding user-specific MMSs.

Thus, for each population stratum – *i.e. livestock category/subcategory/subdivision in each geographical zone*

Step B, worksheet Livestock population, enter in each row Annual Average Population and Typical Animal Mass data as well as information required to calculate daily gross energy need according to the selected tier methodology.

Step C, worksheet MMS usage, for each livestock population/subcategory/subdivision allocate manure to management systems.

Step D, worksheets **Average Daily Feed Intake - Simplified** or **Average Daily Feed Intake - Detailed**, enter in each row additional information needed to calculate *average daily feed intake* of relevant livestock population/subcategory/subdivision. The two approaches differ on how the gross energy intake is calculated, either through an energy balance of performances and activities of the population (as maintenance, growth, activity, pregnancy, production) -i.e. Detailed-, or derived from the daily feed intake and its energy content -i.e. Simplified-.

Step E, worksheet **Volatile solid excretion per day - Tier 2**, for each row of data enter associated *urine energy fraction* and *ash content of feed* and the *Software* calculates *Volatile solid excretion per day* from the fraction of the diet consumed that is not digested and thus excreted as fecal material which combined with urinary excretions constitutes manure.

Step F, worksheet **CH**₄ **emission factor for Manure Management - Tier 2**, for each row of data enter associated *maximum methane producing capacity* and the *Software* calculates CH₄ EF from the *Volatile solid excretion per day* converted to methane.

Step G, worksheet **CH**₄ **emissions from Manure Management**, for IPCC Tier 1 estimates only select from dropdown menu the EF or enter a user-specific value, for each row of data *Software* calculates CH₄ emissions. In addition total emissions are calculated in mass units (Gg).

Activity data input

Guidance on data collection and analysis for livestock population characterisation is provided in sections 10.2. and 10.4.3

Activity data are **first** entered in worksheets **Livestock Population** and **average daily feed intake**, and **then** in worksheets **MMS usage**.

Worksheet **MMS usage** users click the element \blacksquare on the left-hand side of the worksheet to access the sub-tab, then row by row data are entered:

- 1. <u>Column |S|</u>: Select Manure Management System from dropdown menu. <u>Note that</u> only MMS input in the Livestock Manager (MMS Tab) are listed in the drop-down menu
- 2. <u>Column $|MS_{(T,S)}|$ </u>: Enter fraction of manure handled in the MMS. <u>Note that</u> the total of fractions entered shall sum up to 1 (otherwise the relevant cell for 'Total' is coloured in red)

While for IPCC Tier 2 only the following additional information is entered:

3. <u>Column $|MCF_{(T,S)}|$ </u>: Select *Methane Conversion Factor* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value.



Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **California** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

Emission factor input

Emission factor can be either entered in worksheet CH₄ Emissions from Manure Management or for IPCC Tier 2 methodology only calculated in worksheet CH₄ Emission Factor from Manure Management - Tier 2, to calculate it data are first entered in worksheet Volatile solid excretion per day – Tier 2.

MMS - EF for direct N2O-N emissions Direct	t N2O Emissions from MMS	Average Daily Feed Intake - Tier 2/Simp	Volatile solid excret	ion per day - Tier 2 CH4 Emire	tion Eactor for Manura Man	agement - Tier 2 CH4 Emissio	os from Manura Managamant - N Ex	cration rate	N Everal	tion rate	Tier 2
Workherd Sector: Aptochus, Foreity and Other Land Lie 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19							19	90			
			Per animal								
Geographical zone Live		ck Subdivision Gross energy (MJ/day)	Digestible energy (%)		Urinary energy expressed as fraction of GE	Ash content of feed calculated as a fraction of the dry matter feed intake	Volatile solid excretion per day or matter basis (kg VS/day)				
Z V	Ts 🛛 🖓	Ts ♥ GE	DE%	UE	UE * GE	ASH	VS = E	Eq. 10.24			
Intensive livestock farming Mature	Dairy Cows [Enhance High-produc	cing cows that ha. 213.8	04 85.000	0.02	4.276	0.08	Calculated	1.81	2 3	ы	2
Rural livestock tarming	Low-product	ang cows that hav 438.3	75.000	0.04	17.535	0.08	Calculated	6.33	9 3		

Worksheet Volatile solid excretion per day - Tier 2 is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations, and associated *digestible energy* value entered in worksheet Livestock population, as well as with the corresponding *gross energy* value calculated in worksheet Average Daily Feed Intake. Then:

- 1. Column |UE|: Select urinary energy from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, fraction;
- 2. Column | Ash |: Select ash content of feed from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, fraction;

MMS - EF for direct N2O-P Livestock population MN	Vemission AS Usage	Direct N2O Emissions from M Average Daily Feed Intake - Tie	IMS r 2 (Detailed) Average Daily F	eed Intake - Tier 2 (Simplifie	ad) Volatile solid excreti	ion per day - Tier 2 CH4 Emiss	sion Factor for Manure Man	agement - Tier 2 CH4 Emissio	ins from Manure Manageme	t N Excretion rate	N Excre	etion ra	ste - Tier 2
Sector: Agricul Category: Livesto Subcategory: 3.A.2.a Sheet: Volatile	Appolater, Foretry and Other Lind Ule 1990 Service Linetack, Marina management geny: 3A2a1-Day come Valadle sold excetion per day - Ter 2												
							Per animal						
Geographical zo				Gross energy (MJ/day)	Digestible energy (%)		Urinary energy expressed as fraction of GE	Ash content of feed calculated as a fraction of the dry matter feed intake	Volatile solid excretion p matter (kg VS	er day on a dry-organ basis /day)			
Z	V	Ts 💎			DE%		UE * GE	ASH		VS = Eq. 10.24			
Intensive livestock fit	arming	Mature Dairy Cows [Enhance	High-producing cows that ha	213.804	85.000	0.02	4.276	0.08	Calculated	1.8	12 📝		2
Rural livestock farm	ing		Low-producing cows that hav	438.372	75.000	0.04	17.535	0.08	Calculated	6.3	39 📝		

The Software calculates Volatile solid excretion per day, kg VS day-1.

Worksheet CH₄ Emission Factor from Manure Management – Tier 2 is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations entered in worksheet Livestock population, and associated *Volatile solid excretion per day* value calculated in worksheet Volatile solid excretion per day - Tier 2, as well as with the corresponding *weighted⁶⁶ average MCF* value calculated on the basis of MCF values entered in worksheet MMS usage. Then:

3. <u>Column $|B_0|$ </u>: Select B_0 from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, m³ CH₄ kg VS⁻¹.

MMS - EF for direct N2O-N emist	sions D	irect N2O Emissions from MMS									
Livestock population MMS Usa	sge Ave	rage Daily Feed Intake - Tier 2 (Detail	ed) Average Daily Feed Intake - Tier	2 (Simplified) Volatile solid e	xcretion per day - Tier 2 CH4 Emi	ssion Factor for Manure Managem	ent - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions from Manure Management	N Excretion rate	N Excr	tion rat	a - Tier 2
Worksheet Sector: Agriculture, Fi Category: Livestock/Ma Subcategory: 3.A.2.a.i - Dai Sheet: CH4 Emission Data	orestry and anure man- ity cows I Factor fo	Other Land Use sgement Manure Management - Tier 2								19) 90
Geographical zone			Livestock Subdivision	Volatile solid excretion per day on a dry-organic matter basis (kg VS/day)	Maximum methane producing capacity (m*3 CH4/kg VS)	Weighted Average MCF	CH4 Emission Factor (kg CH4/head/yr)				
Z			7 Ts ⊽			MCFavg	EF = VS * 365 * Bo *0.67 * MCFavg				
Intensive livestock farming		Mature Dairy Cows [Enhanced Ch.,	High-producing cows that have cal	1.812	0.	24 0.780		82.972	3		2
Rural livestock farming			Low-producing cows that have cal	6.339	0.	13 0.170		34.260	3		

The Software calculates CH₄ Emission Factor, kg CH₄ head⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

Worksheet **CH**₄ **Emissions from Manure Management** is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations entered in worksheet **Livestock population**. Then, for IPCC Default (Tier 1) methodology only:

4. <u>Column $|EF_{(T)}|$ </u>: Select $EF_{(T)}$ from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg CH₄ head⁻¹ yr⁻¹.



⁶⁶ Weighted based on fractions of manure allocated to MMSs.

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **vert** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

Results

The *Software* calculates for each row of data CH₄ Emission, in mass unit (Gg), in worksheet CH₄ Manure Management. In addition, total CH₄ emissions are calculated.

3.A.2 Manure Management - Direct N₂O

Information

Direct N_2O emissions occur via combined nitrification⁶⁷ and denitrification⁶⁸ of nitrogen contained in the manure. The emission of N_2O from manure during storage and treatment depends on the nitrogen and carbon content of manure, and on the duration of the storage and type of management.

IPCC Equations

Direct N₂O emissions are estimated by applying the following IPCC equations:

- ▶ <u>IPCC (Default) Tier 1</u>: Equations <u>10.25</u> & <u>10.30</u>;
- ▶ <u>IPCC Tier 2</u>: Equations <u>10.25</u> & <u>10.31</u>, additional species-specific equations are 10.<u>32-33</u>.

As explained in section II.1.1 - Use of multiple tiers for reporting, GHG estimates prepared with user-specific Tier 3 methods can be reported in the *Software* worksheets that implement IPCC Default (Tier 1) equation.

Software Worksheets

The *Software* calculates Direct N₂O emissions using worksheets:

- ✓ Livestock Manager: contains data on stratification of the livestock population by geographical boundaries, species, subcategories, subdivisions, as well as on manure management systems utilized in the NGHGI.
- ✓ Livestock Population: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, the amount of the population and the typical animal mass, as well as a number of parameters for IPCC Tier 2 methodology to calculate the energy needs of animals.
- ✓ Average Daily Feed Intake: depending on the methodological tier selected calculates energy needs for each subcategory/subdivision combination.
- ✓ MMS Usage: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, MMSs used and the fraction of manure applied to each MMS.
- ✓ N excretion rate: contains N_{excretion} rate for each subcategory/subdivision combination, where IPCC default method is selected.
- ✓ N excretion rate Tier 2: calculates N_{excretion} rates based on N inputs (percent of crude protein in diet) and N needs for each subcategory/subdivision combination, where IPCC Tier 2 method is selected.
- ✓ EF for Direct N₂O-N emissions: contains N₂O EFs for each subcategory/subdivision combination.
- ✓ Direct N₂O emissions from Manure Management: calculates Direct N₂O emissions, in mass units (Gg), for each geographical zone/MMS combination of each subcategory/subdivision combination. In addition total emissions are calculated.

 $^{^{67}}$ oxidation of ammonia nitrogen to nitrate nitrogen. Nitrification is a necessary prerequisite for the emission of $\rm N_2O$ from stored animal manures and it does not occur under anaerobic conditions.

⁶⁸ Nitrites and nitrates are transformed to N2O and N2 during the naturally occurring process of denitrification, an anaerobic process.

User's work Flowchart

Consistent with the key category analysis and the decision tree in Figure 10.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, GHG estimates are calculated using a single methodological tier or by applying a combination of tiers according to the availability of AD and of user-specific and/or technology-specific EFs.

To ease the use of the *Software* as well as to avoid its misuse, for each source category, the users apply steps described in the following flowchart:



Step A, Livestock Manager users stratify the animal population by:

- ✓ Defining geographical zone and assigning an average annual temperature;
- ✓ Selecting predefined livestock subcategories and subdivisions from drop-down menu, and/or entering userspecific subcategories and/or subdivisions, and/or, where relevant, adding animal species and associated subcategories/subdivisions;
- ✓ Selecting manure management systems among those listed and/or, where relevant, adding user-specific MMSs.

Thus, for each population stratum – *i.e. livestock category/subcategory/subdivision in each geographical zone*

Step B, worksheet Livestock population, enter in each row Annual Average Population and Typical Animal Mass data as well as information required to calculate daily gross energy need according to the selected tier methodology.

Step C, worksheet MMS usage, for each livestock population/subcategory/subdivision allocate manure to management systems.

Step D, worksheets **Average Daily Feed Intake - Simplified** or **Average Daily Feed Intake - Detailed**, enter in each row additional information needed to calculate *average daily feed intake* of relevant livestock population/subcategory/subdivision. The two approaches differ on how the gross energy intake is calculated, either through an energy balance of performances and activities of the population (as maintenance, growth, activity, pregnancy, production) -i.e. Detailed-, or derived from the daily feed intake and its energy content -i.e. Simplified-. <u>Step D applies to Cows populations only</u>.

Step E1, worksheet **N** Excretion rate, for each row of data enter associated daily *N* excretion rate, and the Software calculates annual N excretion rate. Step E1 does not apply at IPCC Default (Tier 1) method.

Step E2, worksheet N Excretion rate - Tier 2:

- ➢ for <u>Cattle</u>, for each row of data enter:
 - ✓ either *annual* N *excretion rate*
 - ✓ or *crude protein in diet*, and calculate or enter:
 - daily N consumed
 - *milk protein content* (for livestock populations that produce milk)
 - fraction of N retained

and the *Software* calculates *annual* N excretion rate.

➢ for <u>other livestock species</u>, for each row of data enter associated *daily* N consumed and fraction of N retained, and the Software calculates annual N excretion rate.

Step E2 applies at IPCC Tier 2 only.

Step F, worksheet **Direct N₂O-N Emission Factor**, for each row of data enter associated *Direct* N_2O -N *Emission Factor*.

Step G, worksheet Direct N_2O Emissions from Manure Management, Software calculates Direct N_2O emissions, in mass units (Gg). In addition total emissions are calculated.

Activity data input

Guidance on data collection and analysis for livestock population characterisation is provided in sections 10.2. and 10.5.3

Activity data are **first** entered in worksheets **Livestock Population** and **average daily feed intake** (for **Cattle only**), **then** in worksheets **MMS usage**, **N excretion rate** (IPCC Default method) or **N Excretion rate – Tier 2** (IPCC Tier 2 method only).

Worksheet **MMS usage** users click the element \blacksquare on the left-hand side of the worksheet to access the sub-tab, then row by row data are entered:

- 1. <u>Column |S|</u>: Select Manure Management System from dropdown menu. <u>Note that</u> only MMS input in the Livestock Manager (MMS Tab) are listed in the drop-down menu
- 2. <u>Column |MS_(T.S)|</u>: Enter fraction of manure handled in the MMS. <u>Note that</u> the total of fractions entered shall sum up to 1 (otherwise the relevant cell for "Total" is coloured in red)

IMS	- EF for direct	ct N2O-N emissions Direct N2O Emissions from MMS	ed) Average Daily Feed Intaks	- Tier 2 (Simplified) Volatile solid excretion per day	- Tier 2 CH4 Emission Factor for Manure Mana	nement - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions fro	m Manure Management	N Excretion rate	N Excretion rate - Tier 2			
Vorks Sect Sate Subo Shee Data	sheet or: gory: sategory: st:	Agrouture, Ferestry and Other Land Use 1990 Fr Unstable. Manue management 1920 1.3.2.2.1. Due creat Findem of Investor, California August MMS and Mehane conversion factor Findem of Investor, California August Augus										
		Geographical zone		Livestock Su	ubcategory		Livestock Subdivi:	ion				
		Z	Ÿ	Ts	7		Ts		ন্থ			
⊕- ⇔-	Coastal	prairies e livestock farming		Dairy Cows [Basic Characterisation]		Grigia High-producing cows that have call	ved at least once and ar	e used principally i	or milk production			
		Manure Management System	Methane conversio	on factor for MMS in Geographical Zone (%) (Tier 2 only)	Fraction of livestock category's manure handled	d using MMS in geographical zone						
				MCF(T,S)	MS(T,S)							
	Unco	overed anaerobic lagoon		78		1.000	3	a 7	×			
	Tatal						3					
	Total					1.000						
		Geographical zone		Livestock St	beategory		Livestock Subdivi	ion				
		Z	Ŷ	Ts	7							
₿	Rangela	ind		Dairy Cows [Basic Characterisation]		Grigia						
B -	Rural liv	restock farming		Mature Dairy Cows [Enhanced Characterisation]		Low-producing cows that have calv	ed at least once and are	used principally fo	r milk production			

Worksheet **N** Excretion rate is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations and associated *Typical Animal Mass* value entered in worksheet Livestock population. Then, when IPCC Tier 2 methodology is NOT applied:

1. <u>Column |N_{rate}|</u>: Select *N excretion rate* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg N ton animal mass⁻¹ day⁻¹;

Average Daily CH4 Emission	verage Daily Feed Intake - Ter 2 (Simplified) Volatiles olid excretion per day - Ter 2 - THE Emission Factor for Manure Management - Ter 2 CH4 Emissions from MMS Usage Average Daily Feed Intake - Ter 2 (Detailed)									
Worksheet Sector: Category: Subcategory Sheet: Data	et Apriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use 1990 gr: Livelacio/Manure management geography 3, 3, 2, 10 or joins N Excettion rate									
					Per	animal				
				Typical Animal Mass (kg/animal)	N excretion rate (kg N/1000kg animal mass/day)	Annual N excretion rate (kg N/animal/yr)				
	Z S	Ts 🗸	Ts 🗸	TAM	Nrate	Nex = Nrate * (TAM / 1000) * 385				
Coastal p	rairies	Dairy Cows	Grigia	500	0.48	87.6	3		2	
Rangelar	id		Grigia	500	0.35	63.87	3			

The Software calculates Annual N excretion rate, kg N animal-1 year-1.

Worksheet **N** Excretion rate - Tier 2 is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of subcategories/subdivision/geographical zone combinations, and:

For Cattle:

- 1. <u>Column | CP%</u>]: Enter a user-specific value, percent. <u>Note that</u> the 2019 Refinement contains data on percent of crude protein in diet in table <u>10.4.1-10.4.3</u>
- 2. <u>Column | N_{intake} |</u>: Either enter a user-specific value or select to calculate it (no additional data are to be entered), kg N animal⁻¹ day⁻¹.
- 3. <u>Column |N_{retention}(frac)|</u>: Either enter a user-specific value or select to calculate it (no additional data are to be entered), fraction.
- Note that the 2019 Refinement contains data on fraction of N retained over N intake in table <u>10A.1-10A.3</u>
- 4. <u>Column |N_{ex}|</u>: Either enter a user-specific value or select to calculate it (no additional data are to be entered), kg N animal⁻¹ year⁻¹.

MMS - EF for direct Livestock populatio Worksheet Sector: Category:	3: EF for direct N2O-H emissions Direct N2O-H emissions Direct N2O-Emissions from MMS 3. Ef for direct N2O-H emissions Direct N2O-Emissions from MAnure Management NExcretion mater - Tier 2 CH4 Emission Factor for Manure Management - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions from Manure Management NExcretion mater - Tier 4. Excretion mater - Tier 2 CH4 Emission Factor for Manure Management - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions from Manure Management NExcretion mater - Tier 4. Agriculture, Forestly and Other Land Use 4. Agriculture, Forestly and Pacer 4.																		
Subcategory: Sheet:	3 A 2.a.ii - Other cattle N Excretion rate - Tier 2																		
Data										Per anim	al								
Geographical z	ne Livestock Subcategory	Livestock Subdivision	Gross energy (MJ/day)	Percent crude protein in diet (%)	Daily N c a (kg N/a	onsumed per nimal nimal/day)	Average daily milk production (kg/day)	Fat content of milk (% by weight)	м	ik PR%	Average weight gain per day (kg/day)	Net energy for growth (MJ/day)	Daily N retained per animal (kg N/animal/day	Fraction retai	of annual N that is ned by animal	Annual N (kg N	I excretion rate Vanimal/yr)		
z	⊽ Ts ⊽	Ts ⊽	GE	CP%		Nintake (Eq.10.32)		%Fat		1.9 + 0.4 * % Fat	wg	NEg	Nretention (Eq.10.33)		Nretention(frac) = Nretention / Nintake		Nex = Nintake * (1 - Nretention (frac)) * 365		
Intensive lives	to Growing Cattle	Calves pre-wean	79,74354	20	Calculated	0.13831			Calculated		0.82			0 Calculated	0	Calculated	50.48263	2 .	2
		Feedlot-fed cattl	88.13509	20	Calculated	0.15286			Calculated		0.56		(0 Calculated	0	Calculated	55.79501	2	T
		Growing / fatteni	91.29359	20	Calculated	0.15834			Calculated		0.79			0 Calculated	0	Calculated	57.79454	3	
		Replacement dai	95.00078	20	Calculated	0.16477			Calculated		0.68			0 Calculated	C	Calculated	60.14141	2	
	Other Mature Ca.	Bulls used princi	174.89358	22	Calculated	0.33367			Calculated					0 Calculated	C	Calculated	121.79039	2	
		Cows used to pr	184.17668	18	Calculated	0.2875	7.5	4.3	Calculated	3.62			0.0425	5 Calculated	0.14802	Calculated	89.40327	2	
Rural livestoc	k f Growing Cattle	Calves pre-wean	102.83702	17	Calculated	0.15161			Calculated		0.71		(0 Calculated	C	Calculated	55.33691	2	
		Feedlot-fed cattl	168.40567	1 17	Calculated	0.24827			Calculated		0.45		1	0 Calculated	C	Calculated	90.61959	2	
		Growing / fatteni	123.68589	17	Calculated	0.18234			Calculated		0.68		1	0 Calculated	0	Calculated	66.55575	2	
		Replacement dai	140.19521	17	Calculated	0.20668			Calculated		0.57		1	0 Calculated	0	Calculated	75.43946	2	
	Other Mature Ca.	Bullocks used pr	275.12723	15	Calculated	0.35789			Calculated					Calculated	0	Calculated	130.62951	3	
		Bulls used princi.	304.97638	19	Calculated	0.50251			Calculated					Calculated	C	Calculated	183.4156	3	
		Cows used for m	1/8.94931	15	Calculated	0.23278	3.5	4	Calculated	3.5			0.019	2 Calculated	0.08248	Calculated	77.95632	3	
		Cows used to pr	262.12716	15	Calculated	0.34098	2	4	Calculated	3.5			0.0109	7 Calculated	0.03218	Calculated	120.45242	2	

For Other Livestock species:

- 1. <u>Column | N_{intake}|</u>: Either enter a user-specific value or select to calculate it (no additional data are to be entered), kg N animal⁻¹ day⁻¹.
- 2. <u>Column |N_{retention}(frac)|</u>: Either enter a user-specific value or select to calculate it (no additional data are to be entered), fraction.
- 3. <u>Column |N_{ex}|</u>: Either enter a user-specific value or select to calculate it (no additional data are to be entered), kg N animal⁻¹ year⁻¹.

MMS - EF or direct IXO-Hemissions (Direct IXO-Emissions for MMS) Livestock population: MMS Usage (Javerage Daily Feed Intake - Tire 2 (Smplified) Valatile solid excretion per day - Tire 2 CH4 Emission Factor for Manure Management - Tire 2 CH4 Emissions from Manure Management - Tire 2 CH4 Emission									
					Peran	nimal			
Geographical zon			Daily N consumed per animal (kg N/animal/day)	Fraction of annual N that is retained by animal		Annual N excretion rate (kg Nanimatlyr)			
Z			Nintake	Nretention(frac)		Nex = Nintake * (1 - Nretention(frac)) * 365			
Coastal prairies	Growing Lambs	Castrates Females Intact males	17 15 17	0.1	Calculated Calculated Calculated	5584.1 4927.1 5584.1	5 3		2
	Mature Ewes	Ewes at breeding	22	0.1	Calculated	7221	7 📝		
Rangeland	Growing Lambs	Females Intact males	17	0.1	Calculated Calculated	5584. 5584.	5 🕜	-	$\left \right $
	Mature Ewes	Ewes at breeding Ewes at lactating	19	0.1	Calculated Calculated	6241. 6241.	5 📝	-	

The Software calculates Annual N excretion rate, kg N animal⁻¹ year⁻¹.

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **EXEMPTIN** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

Emission factor input

Worksheet **EF for Direct N₂O-N emissions** is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of geographical zone/MMS combinations entered in worksheet **MMS Usage**, and for each row of data:

<u>Column |EF_{3(S)}|</u>: Select EF_{3(S)} from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg N₂O-N kg N in MMS⁻¹.

Average Dai	ily Feed Intake - Tier 2 (Simplified) Volatile solid excretion per day - Tier 2				
CH4 Emissi	ion Factor for Manure Management - Tier 2 CH4 Emissions from Manure Man	agement N Excretion rate N Excretion rate - Tier 2 MMS - EF for direct N2O-N em	ssions Direct N2O Emissions from MMS Livestock population MMS Usage A	werage Daily Feed Intake	- Tier 2 (Detailed)
Worksheet Sector: Category: Subcatego Sheet: Data	Apriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use Livestock/Manure management ary: 3.4.2.a.i - Dary cons Emission factor for direct N2O-N emissions from MMS				1990
	Geographical zone	Manure Management System	Emission factor for direct N2O-N emissions from MMS (kg N2O-M(kg N in MMS))		
	Z 🛛		EF3(S)		
Coasta	al prairies	Daily spread		0 🕜	2
Intensi	ive livestock farming	Uncovered anaerobic lagoon		0 📝	
Range	land	Daily spread		0 📝	
Rural I	livestock farming	Cattle and Swine deep bedding	0.1	07 📝	

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **vertice** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

Results

The *Software* calculates for each row of data Direct N_2O Emissions, in mass unit (Gg), in worksheet Direct N_2O Emissions from Manure Management. In addition, total Direct N_2O emissions are calculated.

CH4 Emission Norksheet	Factor for Manure Management - Tier 2	CH4 Emission	s from Manure Management	N Excretion rate	N Excretion rate - Tie	er 2 MMS - EF fo	r direct N2O-N emissions Direct /	420 Emission	s from MMS Uvestock population MMS Usage	Average Daily Feed I	ntake - Tier 2 (Detailed) Average Daily Feed Intake - Tier 2 (Simplified)	Volatile solid excretion per	day - Tier 2	
iector: Category: Rubcategory Neet:	Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land U Livestock/Manure management 3 A 2 a ii - Other cattle N2O Emissions from Manure Manager	use ment Systems												1990
Gas NTR	DUS OXIDE (PL2O) V													
	Geographical zone		Manure N	Janagement System		то	al N excretion for the MMS (kg Nlyr)		Emission factor for direct N2O-N emissions from MMS (kg N2O-NV/sg N in MMS))		Annual direct N2O emissions from Manure Management (kg N2O/yr)		Annual direct N2O emissions fro (Gg N2O/y	m Manure Management 1)
		7					NE(5) = 2NE(T,5)		Dr3(5)		N2O(5) = NE(5) * EF3(5) * 44 / 28		N2O(5)*10	N#
🕞 🕨 Inten	sive livestock farming		Solid storage				190	6855.70215	0.005			15006.00909		0.01501
		Uves		Number of Animals (head)	Annual N e (kg Nie	excretion rate animaliyr)	Fraction of livestock category's manure handled using MMS in geographical zone		Total N excretion for the MMS (kg N/yr)		Annual direct N2O emissions from Manure Managemen (kg N2O/yr)	Annual d	irect N2O emissions from Manure Management (Og N2O/yr)	
					Ne	ex(T)	MS(T,5)		NE(T,5) = N(T) * Nex(T) * MS(T,5)		N2O(T,5) = NE(T,5) * EF3(5) * 4428		N2O(T,5)*10^-6	
	ther Mature Cattle	Bulls used prin Cows used to p	cipally for breeding purpo. Induce offspring for meat	10 200	000	121.79035 89.40327	1			121790.38767 1788065.31449		956.92447 14049.00461	0.00096 0.01405	3
1008										1909855.70215		15006.00909	0.01501	
	Geographical zone		Manure N	lanagement System		Te	al N excretion for the MMS (kg Nlyr)		Emission factor for direct N2O-N emissions from MMS (kg N2O-NUty N in MMS))		Annual direct N2O emissions from Manure Management (kg N2Otyr)		Annual direct N2O emissions fro (Gg N2O/y	m Manure Management 1)
		Ŷ					$NE(S) = \sum NE(T,S)$		EF3(3)		N2O(S) = NE(S) * EF3(S) * 44 / 28		N2O(5)*10	~4
© Inten	sive livestock farming		Pit storage below animal of	confinements			2242	1358.89674	0.002			70467.12796		0.07043
Rura	livestock farming		Dry lot				2063	8407,19141	0.02			645667.08316		0.64861
Total			Compositing - Passive win	drow			12	0623/51243	0.01			2/52/4948		0.00205
							451	1251 30273				736192 96969		0.73619

To access the sub-tab users click the element \blacksquare on the left-hand side of the worksheet.

3.C.6 Indirect N₂O emissions from Manure Management

Information

Indirect emissions result from volatile nitrogen losses that occur primarily in the forms of NH_3 and NO_X . N is also lost through runoff and leaching into soils from the solid storage of manure at outdoor areas, in feedlots and where animals are grazing in pastures⁶⁹.

To estimate Indirect N_2O emissions from Manure Management users SHALL first estimate Direct N_2O emissions from Manure Management.

IPCC Equations

Indirect N₂O emissions are estimated by applying the following IPCC equations:

- ▶ IPCC (Default) Tier 1: Equations 10.26 & 10.27 limited to indirect N₂O emissions from N volatilization;
- > <u>IPCC Tier 2</u>: Equations <u>10.28</u> & <u>10.29</u>, *limited to indirect* N_2O *emissions from* N *leaching/runoff.*

As explained in section **II.1.1 - Use of multiple tiers for reporting**, GHG estimates prepared with user-specific Tier 3 methods can be reported in the *Software* worksheets that implement IPCC Default (Tier 1) equation.

Software Worksheets

The *Software* calculates Indirect N₂O emissions using worksheets:

- ✓ Livestock Manager: contains data on stratification of the livestock population by geographical boundaries, species, subcategories, subdivisions, as well as on manure management systems utilized in the NGHGI.
- ✓ Livestock Population: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, the amount of the population and the typical animal mass, as well as a number of parameters for IPCC Tier 2 methodology to calculate the energy needs of animals.
- ✓ Average Daily Feed Intake: depending on the methodological tier selected calculates energy needs for each subcategory/subdivision combination.
- ✓ MMS Usage: contains for each subcategory/subdivision combination, MMSs used and the fraction of manure applied to each MMS.
- ✓ N excretion rate: contains N_{excretion} rate for each subcategory/subdivision combination, where IPCC default method is selected.
- ✓ N excretion rate Tier 2: calculates N_{excretion} rates based on N inputs (percent of crude protein in diet) and N needs for each subcategory/subdivision combination, where IPCC Tier 2 method is selected.

All above worksheets are used to first estimate category 3.A.2 - Direct N_2O emissions from Manure Management

- ✓ Manure N loss due to volatilisation: calculates the amount of manure N loss due to volatilisation for each combination of geographical zone/MMS/livestock⁷⁰ and associated total N excreted.
- ✓ Manure N loss due to leaching/runoff: calculates the amount of manure N loss due to leaching/runoff for each combination of geographical zone/MMS/livestock⁷¹ and associated total N excreted. IPCC Tier 2 only.
- ✓ Indirect N₂O emissions due to N volatilisation from Manure Management: calculates indirect N₂O emissions due to N volatilisation from Manure Management, in mass units (Gg), for each geographical zone. In addition total emissions are calculated.
- ✓ Indirect N₂O emissions due to N leaching/runoff from Manure Management: calculates indirect N₂O emissions due to N leaching/runoff from Manure Management, in mass units (Gg), for each geographical zone. In addition total emissions are calculated.

 $^{^{69}}$ Indirect $\rm N_2O$ emissions from leaching and runoff of N from pastures, range and paddock are instead reported under category 3.C.5 – Indirect $\rm N_2O$ emissions from managed soils.

⁷⁰ As stratified in categories/subcategories/subdivisions.

⁷¹ As stratified in categories/subcategories/subdivisions.

User's work Flowchart

Consistent with the key category analysis and the decision tree in Figure 10.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, GHG estimates are calculated using a single methodological tier or by applying a combination of tiers according to the availability of AD and of user-specific and/or technology-specific EFs.

To ease the use of the *Software* as well as to avoid its misuse, for each source category, the users apply steps described in the following flowchart:



Step F, worksheets Manure N loss due to volatilisation and Manure N loss due to leaching/runoff, for each row of data enter associated *fraction of N volatilised* and, although limited to IPCC Tier 2, *fraction of N leached/runoff*.

Step G, worksheets Indirect N_2O emissions due to N volatilisation from Manure Management and Indirect N_2O emissions due to N leaching/runoff from Manure Management, *Software* calculates Indirect N_2O emissions from N volatilised and from N leached/runoff, in mass units (Gg), respectively. In addition total emissions are calculated.

Activity data input

Guidance on data collection and analysis for livestock population characterisation is provided in sections 10.2. and 10.5.3

In addition to Activity data entry described for category 3.A.2, data are entered in worksheets Manure N loss due to volatilisation and Manure N loss due to leaching/runoff (IPCC Tier 2 method only).

Worksheet Manure N loss due to volatilisation row by row data are entered:

1. <u>Column | Frac_{GAS(MS)} |</u>: Select *fraction of N volatilised* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, fraction.



The Software calculates Annual N loss from volatilisation, kg N year-1.

Worksheet Manure N loss due to leaching/runoff row by row data are entered:

1. <u>Column $|Frac_{GAS(MS)}|$ </u>: Select *fraction of N volatilised* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, fraction.

Ceepyshoal zone Canada parkes Option Canada parkes Option Interanse livestock taming Solid Interanse livestock taming Date Interanse livestock taming Date	Manure Management Dystem 5 V Delly spread Sild storage Jncovered anaerobic lagoon	Livestock Category T Dairy Cons Other Caste	Livestock Subcategory	Eq Livestack Subdivision	vation 10.28, 10.29 Total N excretion for the MMMS (kg Klyr)	Fraction of managed livestock manure N that leaches	Amount of manure Nioss due to leaching and runott		
Canagraphical sense	Manure Management System 5 Voltage Solid storage Jncovered anaerobic lagoon	Livestock Category T Dairy Cons Other Catle	Livestock Subcategory			Fraction of managed livestock manure N that leaches			
Z T Coastal prairies Dan Intensive livestock faming Soli Unco	S V Daily spread Solid storage Jincovered anaerobic lagoon	T Dairy Cows Other Cattle	¥ Ts						
Ceasal prairies Dell Intensive livestock famming Solo Unce	Daily spread Solid storage Uncovered anaerobic lagoon	Dairy Covs Other Cattle			NEmms + N(T) * Nex(T) * MS(T,S)	Frac(LeachM5)	Neaching-MMS + NEmms * Frac(LeachMS)		
Intensive Investock farming Soli	Solid storage Uncovered anaerobic lagoon	Other Cattle	Dairy Costs	Grigia	51599991.6	0		0 7 14	1 7
Uno	Uncovered anaerobic lagoon		Other Mature Cattle	Bulls used principally for breeding pu.	121790.38767	0.01	12,1790	4 7	T
Une	Uncovered anaerobic lagoon			Cows used to produce offspring for	1788065.31449	0.01	178.8065	3 7	
Per		Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	High-producing cows that have calve.	82210207.49779	0		0 2	
Pice		Suine	Growing Swine	Finishing	1092002.912	0		0 2	_
Pes				Gilts that will be used for breeding p.	866250.39375	0		0 3	_
Pts				Growing boars that will be used for b.	805001.16725	0		2 3	_
Pes				Nursery	210000.56	0		2 7	-
Pts			Mature Swine	boars that are used for breeding pur.	80092.5	0		2 3	-
Pts				Sows in gestation	6215997.669	0		2 7	+
PEL				Sows which have tarrowed and are n.	10290001.89	0		2 3	-
	nt storage below animal continement.	Other Cattle	Growing Cattle	Calves pre-wearing	5048263.31752	0			-
				Peepidered case on overs containing.	50/2000-606-15 5770-453-00407	0			+-
				Parlacement dairy bailers	6014141 322	0		0.00	+
Con	Covered anaerohic lancos	Quine	Genuine Suine	Finabian	100002 912	0		0 7	-
				Gilts that will be used for breeding p.	866,240 39378	0		0 7	+
				Growing boars that will be used for b.	805001.16725	0		0 7	-
				Nursery	21000.56	0		0 7	-
			Mature Swine	Boars that are used for breeding pur.	85592.5	0		0 7	-
				Sows in gestation	6215997.669	0		0 3	-
				Sows which have farrowed and are n.	10290001.89	0		0 3	-
Rangeland Daily	Daily spread	Dairy Cows	Dairy Cows	Grigia	26250006.125	0		0 7	
Rural livestock farming Dry	Dry lot	Other Cattle	Growing Cattle	Calves pre-wearing	5533690.75169	0.035	1936.7917	\$ 3	
				Feedlot-fed cattle on cliets containing.	906195.94125	0.035	317.1665	3 7	-
				Growing / fattening cattle post-weani	6655574,6747	0.035	2329.4515	4 7	
				Replacement dairy heifers	7543945.82377	0.035	2640.3810	A 3	
Liqu	Jouid Sturry	Suire	Unspecified	Unspecified	7300000	0		0 07	
Cett	Cattle and Swine deep bedding	Dairy Cows	Mature Dairy Cows	Low-producing cows that have calve.	126744293.41693	0.01	12674.4293	4 3	
Com	Composting - Passive windrow	Other Cattle	Other Mature Cattle	Bullocks used principally for draft po.	130629.51243	0.04	52.251	4 3	

The Software calculates Annual N loss from leaching/runoff, kg N year-1.

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet **EXEMPTEN** to ensure that information entered in the row is saved in the database.

Emission factor input

Worksheet Indirect N_2O emissions due to N volatilisation from Manure Management is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of geographical zones and associated total manure's N loss due to volatilisation, and for each row of data:

<u>Column | EF₄ |</u>: Select *EF₄* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg N₂O-N (kg NH₃-N & NO_X-N volatilised)⁻¹.

Amount of manu Worksheet Sector: Category: Subcategory: Sheet:	And the finance N loss due to volatilisation of NH3 and NOX [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] Amount of manure N loss due to leaching indirect N2O emissions due to leaching and runoff from Manure Management [Indirect N2O emissions due to leaching and runoff from Manure Management] Amount of manure N loss due to leaching indirect N2O emissions due to leaching and runoff from Manure Management [Indirect N2O emissions due to leaching and runoff from Manure Management] Amount of manure N loss due to leaching indirect N2O emissions due to leaching and runoff from Manure Management [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] Amount of manure N loss due to leaching indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] Amount of manure N loss due to volatilisation from Manure Management [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management] [Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisatin from Manure Man									
Colo			Equation 1	0.26, 10.27						
	Geographical zone	Amount of manure N loss due to volatilisation of NH3 and NOx (kg N / yr)	Emission factor for N2O emissions from atmospheric deposition of N on soils and water surfaces [kg N2O-N / (kg NH3-N + NOx-N volatilised)] Table 11.3	Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management (kg N2O / yr)						
		Nvolatilisation-MMS	EF4	N2OG(mm) = Nvolatilisation-MMS * EF4 * 44/28						
Coastal pra	airies	3611999.412	0.01	56759.99076	3	2				
Rangeland		1837500.42875	0.01	28875.00674	3					
Intensive li	ivestock farming	35910988.18128	0.01	564315.52856	3					
Rural lives	tock farming	6191822.15742	0.01	97300.06247	3					
Total										
		47552310.17945		747250.58853						

Worksheet Indirect N_2O emissions due to N leaching/runoff from Manure Management is prefilled by the *Software* with a number of rows corresponding to the number of geographical zones and associated total manure's N loss due to leaching/runoff, and for each row of data:

1. <u>Column | EF4 |</u>: Select *EF5* from dropdown menu or enter a user-specific value, kg N₂O-N (kg NH₃-N & NO_X-N leached/runoff)⁻¹.

Amount of manure N loss due to volatilisation of NH3 Worksheet Sector: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Lan Category: Aggregate Sources and Non-CO21 Subcategory: 3.C.6. Indirect N2O Emissions from Sheet: Indirect N2O emissions due to vola Data	And offending in Node Sub Voltabilised of https://www.internet.com/instance/wategoriest.com/internet									
		Equation 1	0.28, 10.27							
Geographical zone	Amount of manure N loss due to volatilisation of NH3 and NOx (kg N / yr)	Emission factor for N2O emissions from atmospheric deposition of N on soils and water surfaces [kg N2O-N / (kg Nt3-N + NOX-N volatilised)] Table 11.3	Indirect N2O emissions due to volatilisation from Manure Management (kg N2O / yr)							
z	Nvolatilisation-MMS	EF4	N2OG(mm) = Nvolatilisation-MMS * EF4 * 44/28							
Coastal prairies	3611999.412	0.01	56759.9907	6 📝		2				
Rangeland	1837500.42875	0.01	28875.0067	4 🕜						
Intensive livestock farming	35910988.18128	0.01	564315.5285	6 📝						
Rural livestock farming	6191822.15742	0.01	97300.0624	7 🕜						
Total										
	747250.5885	3								

Recall:

press the floppy disk icon on the right-hand side of the worksheet in the row is saved in the database.

Results

The Software calculates for each row of data Indirect N₂O Emissions, in mass unit (Gg), in worksheets Indirect N₂O emissions due to N volatilisation from Manure Management and Indirect N₂O emissions due to N leaching/runoff from Manure Management. In addition, total Indirect N₂O emissions are calculated in each worksheet.

Annex I: Mapping between the IPCC Inventory Software and the UNFCCC ETF Reporting Tool

The *Software* enables users to calculate national GHG emissions in accordance with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. The methods contained in the *Software* are consistent with those required to be used by Parties in preparing a national GHG inventory, consistent with decision 18/CMA.1, under the Enhanced Transparency Framework (ETF) of the Paris Agreement. However, Parties to the UNFCCC have agreed to a specific format for reporting the GHG inventory information, called the common reporting tables (CRT), that differ from the IPCC reporting tables contained in volume 1, chapter 8 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

Thus, Parties to the UNFCCC, acknowledging the importance of the *Software* in aiding countries to estimate their national GHG inventory, have invited IPCC to work together to facilitate interoperability between the *Software* and the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool. Consequently, the *Software* has been upgraded to operationalize the interoperability. Specifically, users of the *Software* can estimate GHG emissions and removals for all categories and gases that are required to be reported pursuant to the CRT. Once data are entered into the *Software*, users wishing to use these data to facilitate reporting to the UNFCCC must generate a file in the *Software* (in JSON format). This file, can then subsequently, through a separate UNFCCC platform, be uploaded and further processed through the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool to transfer to UNFCCC their national GHG inventory, as compiled in the CRTs and as required under the Paris Agreement.

Preparing a JSON file that can be imported into the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool required a cell-by-cell mapping of the CRT to document where each of the AD and GHG emissions estimates contained in each worksheet of the *Software* reside in the CRT.

This annex contains detailed information to illustrate the mapping of data on livestock-related categories -i.e. **3.A.1** - **Enteric Fermentation, 3.A.2** - **Manure Management, 3.C.6** - **Indirect N₂O emissions from manure management**- between the *Software* and the CRTs. The specific information presented in this annex related to reporting of emissions from livestock-related categories in the CRT is supplemental to the general information provided in the <u>IPCC Inventory Software -UNFCCC Interoperability – CRT Export Quick Start Guide</u>.

Table A.1.1 compares livestock Categories between the Livestock Manager in the Software and the CRT.

			1 4000 2 1. 1. 1	
	Ca	tegory		
	Software		CRT	Notes
code ^A	Livestock Manager	code ^A	Livestock category	
3.A.1.a 3.A.2.a	Cattle	3.A.1 3.B.1	Cattle	
3.A.1.a.i	Daim Cours	3.A.1.a 3.B.1.a	Option A Dairy cattle	If users do not enter any livestock subcategory/subdivision in the Livestock Manager -neither under Dairy Cows nor under Other Cattle- then, data on Dairy Cows in the Software will map to CRT OPTION A - Dairy cattle, and data on Other Cattle in the Software will map to CRT OPTION A - Non-dairy cattle.
3.A.2.a.i	Dury Cows	3.A.1.a.i 3.B.1.a.i	Option B Mature dairy cattle	If users enter any Cattle's subcategory in the Livestock Manager -either under <i>Dairy Cows</i> or under <i>Other Cattle</i> - then data will map to CRT OPTION B as follows:
		3.A.1.b 3.B.1.b	Option A Non-dairy cattle	 All subcategories/subdivisions of Darry Cows in the Software will map to CRT Mature darry cattle All subdivisions of Growing cattle in the Software will map to CRT Growing Cattle All subdivisions to Other Mature cattle as well as any user-specific subcategory of Other Cattle (e.g. beef cattle-pasture) in the Software
3.A.1.a.ii 3.A.2.a.ii	Other Cattle	3.A.1.a.ii 3.B.1.a.ii	Option B Other mature cattle	will map to CRT Other mature cattle. - No data map to 3.A.1.a.iv - Other (please specify) in the CRT, so NA is populated in the CRT.
		3.A.1.a.iii 3.B.1.a.iii	Option B Growing cattle	
3.A.1.c 3.A.2.c	Sheep	3.A.2.a 3.B.2.a	Sheep	Sheep category ⁷² in the Software map to a single row in the CRT, labelled All sheep.
3.A.1.h 3.A.2.h	Swine	3.A.3.a 3.B.3.a	Swine	Swine category ⁷³ in the Software map to a single row in the CRT, labelled All swine.
		3.A.4 3.B.4	Other livestock	
3.A.1.b 3.A.2.b	Buffalo	3.A.4.a 3.B.4.a	Buffalo	
3.A.1.e 3.A.2.e	Camels	3.A.4.b 3.B.4.b	Camels	
3.A.1.d 3.A.2.d	Goats	3.A.4.d 3.B.4.d	Goats	

 Table A.1.1
 Mapping of livestock categories between the Software and the CRT

⁷² and associated subcategories/subdivisions, if any - e.g. mature ewes, growing lambs, other mature sheep.

⁷³ and associated subcategories/subdivisions, if any - e.g. mature swine, growing swine.

	Ca	tegory		
	Software		CRT	Notes
code	Livestock Manager	code Livestock category		
3.A.1.f 3.A.2.f	Horses	3.A.4.e 3.B.4.e	Horses	
3.A.1.g 3.A.2.g	Mules and Asses	3.A.4.f 3.B.4.f	Mules and Asses	
3.A.1.i 3.A.2.i	Poultry	3.A.4.g 3.B.4.g	Poult r y	
Dr	opdown menu ^B			All additional livestock species are reported in IPCC categories 3.A.1.j (other) and 3.A.2.j (other) for Enteric fermentation and Manure management respectively.
	Deer	3.A.4.c 3.B.4.c	Deer	
		3.A.4.h 3.B.4.h	Other	
	Rabbit	3.A.4.h.i 3.B.4.h.i	Rabbit	
	Reindeer	3.A.4.h.ii 3.B.4.h.ii	Reindeer	
3.A.1.j	Ostrich	3.A.4.h.iii 3.B.4.h.iii	Ostrich	
3.A.2.j	Fur-bearing animals	3.A.4.h.iv 3.B.4.h.iv	Fur-bearing animals	
			Other (<i>please specify</i>)	
	Alpacas	2 A 4 h m	Alpacas [IPCC Software 3.A.2.j]	
	Llamas	3.B.4.h.v	Llamas [IPCC Software 3.A.2.j]	
	Any user-specific		All other species [IPCC Software 3.A.2.j]	any additional user-specific livestock category, as entered in the Livestock Manager, will map to a single row in the CRT

A (codes are provided for both sources: Enteric fermentation and Manure management)

B Where estimates are to be prepared by users for livestock species listed hereafter, those species are to be added by selecting in the dropdown menu of the Livestock Characterization TAB in the Livestock Manager. Users can also add livestock species not included in the dropdown menu by directly entering those in the last row of the TAB.

Livestock Manager							
Geographical zones Livestock Characterisation Manure Management System		Manure Management System					
					Save	Un	do Close
			Category				
₽	Dairy Cows						
₽	Other Cattle						
₽	Buffalo						
	Sheep						
P	Goats						
	Camels						
P	Horses						
	Mules and A	SSES					
P	Swine						
	Poultry					_	
1.0	*					\sim	×
				Alpacas		\sim	
				Deer			
				Fur bearing animals		1	
				Llamas		1	
				Ostrich		1	
				Rabbits		1	
				Reindeer		\sim	

Selecting additional livestock species from dropdown menu

Li	vesto	ock Manager						×
G	eogra	aphical zones	Livestock Characterisation	Manure Management System				
					Save	Unc	lo	Close
Γ				Category				
۲	-	Dairy Cows						
¢	-	Other Cattle						
ŧ	-	Buffalo						
ė	-	Sheep						
ė		Goats						
ė		Camels						
ė		Horses						
Ū.		Mules and Ass	ses					
ė	-	Swine						
ė		Poultry						
ė	*	WILD BOAR				~		×
L.	*					_		

Adding livestock species

IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

Table A.1.2 lists every manure management system referenced in <u>Table 10.18 Definitions of Manure Management</u> <u>Systems</u> in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines and the corresponding manure management system to which it is mapped in the CRT. For example, reporting manure treated in an "uncovered anaerobic lagoon" in the *Software* maps to "Anaerobic lagoon" in the CRT, and the four different systems for composting in the *Software* all map to "Composting" in the CRT.

IPCC Inventory Software (from Table 10.18)	CRT - Tables 3.A, 3.B(a) and 3.B(b)		
Uncovered anaerobic lagoon	Anaerobic lagoon		
Liquid/Slurry	Liquid system		
Daily spread	Daily spread		
Solid storage	Solid storage		
Pit storage below animal confinements	Pit storage		
Dry lot	Dry lot		
Cattle and Swine deep bedding	Deep bedding		
Pasture/Range/Paddock	Pasture, range, and paddock		
Composting- in-vessel Composting - static pile Composting intensive windrow Composting, Passive windrow	Composting		
Anerobic digester	Digesters		
Burned for fuel	Burned for fuel or as waste		
Poultry manure with litter Poultry manure without litter Aerobic treatment <i>Country-specific</i>	Other		

 Table A.1.2
 Mapping of Manure Management Systems between the Software and the CRT

CRT visualization tables in the IPCC Inventory Software

The mapping between the *Software* and the CRT are visualized in the *Software* to allow the user to properly understand, and keep for internal use, the results of the conversion of IPCC category GHG estimates into UNFCCC NGHGI categories, thus enhancing transparency.

To generate the visualization tables, select from the ribbon "Export/Import" and then "UNFCCC CRT" to generate the tables. For complete guidance on how to do this, refer to the <u>IPCC Inventory Software -UNFCCC</u> <u>Interoperability – CRT Export Quick Start Guide</u>. The result of the generated tables is presented in Table A.1.3 below.



IMPORTANT: these visualization tables have been prepared to enhance transparency and demonstrate to the user how the data entered in the *Software* are mapped to the UNFCCC CRT. The data entered in the *Software* are not automatically used to meet the UNFCCC reporting requirements. The user will still be required to formally submit the information through the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for CRT, and the user is responsible for reviewing first the information compiled in the CRT visualization tables, and second the information once imported into that tool.

How to read mapping tables

The mapping tables have been developed to enhance transparency of the relationship between the categories in the *Software* and the UNFCCC reporting tool for CRT. For each cell in the CRT, the mapping tables describe the source of the data from the *Software* that is reported in that cell. The majority of cells in the CRT map from the underlying category-specific worksheets of the *Software*. In limited cases (e.g. for some short-lived climate forcer emissions in the sector summary tables) data are mapped from the IPCC background or sectoral reporting tables.

The specific mapping instructions vary, depending on the nature of the category, and how many calculation worksheets from the *Software* map to that cell, but generally, the instruction is written to direct the user to:

- 1. The specific IPCC category in the category tree
- 2. The tab in that worksheet that contains the relevant information; in the case of the reporting of livestock emissions in CRT tables 3.A, 3.B(a) and 3.B(b), reference in the mapping is often made to one of the three tabs of the Livestock Manager: Geographical zones, Livestock Characterisation and Manure Management System
- 3. The relevant livestock category(categories) when a single worksheet includes multiple livestock type
- 4. The column that contains the relevant information, with an indication of any mathematical operation needed (e.g. SUM, MULTIPLY BY, etc)
- 5. Any conversions needed to ensure correct units map to the UNFCCC CRT (e.g. DIVIDE by 1,000 to convert Population to Population (1,000s)).

By illustration, the directions in the mapping file to report total CH₄ emissions from manure management of sheep in the CRT, and the corresponding location of the information in the *Software* are shown below in Table A.1.4. The user would refer to category 3.A.2.c in the *Software*, which refers to manure management of sheep, and then the worksheet for CH₄ emissions from manure management. You will see that mapping file includes the total sum of the column "CH₄", this is because the CH₄ emissions from all types of sheep will map to the single cell in the CRT. Generally, white cells in the CRT are mapped from the *Software*. Orange, green, or blue cells in the visualized CRT in the *Software* will be calculated by the UNFCCC electronic reporting tool for CRT, upon import of the JSON file.

1 0	<i>bie 2</i> 1.1. 7 Example. 11	tow to read h	mapping bein	veen ine Sojim	are ana i		JU UNI	
UNECC							EMISSIONS	
CRT	GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE : CATEGORIES					CH₄ (kt)		
	5.15.1.4.11. Outer (preuse		(m)					
	3.B.2. Sheep			SUM(K23)				
	3.B.2.a. Other (please specif	r)					SUM(K24)	
	All sheep [IPCC Soft	IPCC 3.A.2.c "CH4", if SUI	<ch4 emissions<br="">M=0 or blank the</ch4>	from Manu 1 "NE"	ire Managemer	nt> SUM Column		
IPCC	Application Database Inventory Year Administrate W	Vorksheets Tools Export	t/Import Reports Window	Help	T. 207 . 17 . 2	MIN 81 8		
Inventory	- 3.A.1.e - Camels 2 CH4 Emissi	opulation MMS Usage Ave ions from Manure Management	N Excretion rate N Excretio	n rate - Tier 2 MMS - EF for direct I	N2O-N emissions Dir	rect N2O Emissions from MMS	y - Tier 2 CH4 Emission Factor for Manure Manageme	
inventory	- 3.A.1.f - Horses - 3.A.1.g - Mules and Asses Sector:	Apriculture, Forestry and	Other Land Use					
Software	- 3A.1.h - Swine Category:	Livestock/Manure man	sgement					
	- 3.A.1.j - Other (please specify) Sheet:	CH4 Emissions from Mar	nure Management					
	3.A.2 - Manure Management Data Data Data Gas METHANE (CH4)		× ß ß			4		
	3A26 - Doffalo 3A26 - Sheep - 3A26 - Golds - 3A26 - Carrels	Geographical zone			Number of Animals (head)	Emission factor for Manure Management (kg CH4/head/yr)	CH4 emissions from Manure Management (Gg CH4/yr)	
	- 3A2f - Horses	Z V	Ts T	Ts T	7 N(T)	EF(T)	CH4 = N(T) * EF(T) * 10^-6	
	- 3A2h - Swine East		Inspecified	Unspecified	608	0.2	0.00012	
	- 3.A.2.i - Poultry South			Unspecified	600	0.1	0.000	
1	Total							

 Table A.1.4
 Example: How to read mapping between the Software and the UNFCCC CRT

The example above is a simple illustration. In some cases, multiple worksheets and even multiple categories may map to a single cell in the CRT. This would be illustrated by reference to the two key instructions "PLUS" or "AND" to denote consideration of multiple data elements from the *Software*.

The following recurrent key instructions in the mapping are:

- ✓ The sign "SUM" indicates a summatory of information (numerical or alphabetical) contained across the column/row to which applies.
- ✓ The sign "-SUM" indicates that the result of the summatory is to be reported as a negative value.
- ✓ The sign "AND" indicates an additional element for mapping in the cell, which pertains to the same IPCC category.
- ✓ The sign "PLUS" indicates an additional element for mapping in the cell, which pertains to an additional IPCC category.
- ✓ The signs "MINUS" indicates an additional element for mapping in the cell, which information pertains to a different IPCC category and it is to be subtracted.
- ✓ The signs "EXCEPT" indicates an element for mapping to be excluded because this element (e.g. fuel or IPCC category) is already included elsewhere.
- ✓ The signs "MULTIPLY" and "DIVIDE" and "SUBTRACT" indicate the corresponding mathematical operation to be applied to information sourced from the *Software*.
- ✓ The signs "**ISNOT**" means \neq .
- ✓ The indication "AVERAGE...WEIGTHED by".

There are two unique elements for the mapping of livestock that are relevant to highlight:

- 1. The basic characterization versus an enhanced characterization for cattle. As explained in Table A.1.1, the CRT allows two, mutually exclusive options for reporting of cattle emissions: Option A, divided between *Dairy cattle* and *Non-dairy cattle* (i.e. a basic characterization), and Option B, divided among *Mature dairy cattle*, *Growing cattle*, *Other mature cattle*, and *Other (please specify)* (i.e. an enhanced characterization). If you do not have any livestock subcategories for cattle entered in the Livestock Manager, all cattle will map to Option A, with Option B reported as "NA" (not applicable). Alternatively, if you have a livestock subcategory under either *Dairy Cows* or *Other Cattle* in the Livestock Manager, your data will map to Option B, with Option A reported as "NA".
- 2. CRT tables 3.A and 3.B also include a section to enter "Additional information" in cases where Tier 2 is used. The additional information for table 3.A will be completed when relevant information is entered into the *Software*, even with a basic characterization (i.e. no livestock subcategories entered in the Livestock Manager under either *Dairy Cows* or *Other Cattle*). Additional information for CRT table 3.B(a) will only be completed with relevant information when an enhanced characterization is entered (i.e. a livestock subcategory is entered into the Livestock Manager) and the corresponding worksheets for IPCC category 3.A.1 and 3.A.2 designate the use of a *Tier 2 (Simplified)* or *Tier 2 (Detailed)* in the column Method. An example worksheet is shown in Figure A.1.1 below and a flowchart provided in Figure A.1.2.



Figure A.1.1 Designating Tier 2 for Choice of Method for Cattle





IPCC Inventory Software (TSU IPCC TFI)

3. Data input in the Livestock Manager will influence where data are mapped to the CRT. In addition to the use of the Livestock Manager to identify, and subsequently map the livestock types in the country, the annual average temperature for each geographic zone and the manure management system(s) entered into the Livestock Manager are referenced when completing the worksheets (e.g, for the additional information table for CRT table 3.B(a)).

Table A.1.5 below demonstrates an example where a livestock subcategory under cattle is added into the Livestock characterisation tab of the Livestock Manager, and thus reporting is for Option B. The instructions then refer the mapping to the "MMS Usage tab of IPCC category 3.A.2.a.i. The geographic zones included in this cell are only those in cool temperatures (as defined in the Geographic Zones tab of the Livestock Manager), and the calculation is referring to the fraction of livestock in those zones as a fraction of total livestock handled in anaerobic lagoons.

Table A.1.5		le A.1.5	Example for Dairy Cattle Mapping			
Additional information (for Tier 2) ^(a)						
				Manure Management Systems ^(b)		
Animal category		Indicator	Climate region	Anaerobic lagoon		
		Allocation	Cool	NA		
		(%)	Temperate	NA		
	Dairy cattle		Warm	NA		
		MCF (c)	Cool	NA		
			Temperate	NA		
On the A			Warm	NA		
Option A	Non-dairy cattle	Allocation (%)	Cool	NA		
			Temperate	NA		
			Warm	NA		
		MCF (c)	Cool	NA		
			Temperate	NA		
			Warm	NA		
Option B Mature dairy cattle Allocation (%) Cool IPCC 3.A.2.a.i <m MMS="Uncovered Geographical Zone "MS(T,S)") MUL'</m 			Cool	IPCC 3.A.2.a.i <mms <15°c,<br="" annual="" average="" geographical="" temperature="" usage,="" with="" zones="">MMS="Uncovered Anaerobic Lagoon"> (SUM Column "MS(T,S)" DIVIDED by <mms usage,<br="">Geographical Zones with average annual temperature <15°C, MMS=ALL> SUM Column "MS(T,S)") MULTIPLIED by 100), if RESULT=0 or blank then "NE"</mms></mms>		

Detailed mapping between the Software and the UNFCCC ETF Reporting Tool

Attached to this PDF of the Guidebook are the UNFCCC CRT agreed by Parties for reporting under the Paris Agreement, and the corresponding mapping instructions from the *Software* for the entire AFOLU sector. Although this guide focuses on IPCC Category 3.A for Livestock, all mapping tables for the AFOLU sector are provided in the attachment owing to the inter-relationship among categories in this sector.

Please note that the tables are accessible by clicking the ATTACH icon (paper-clip) on the left-hand side of your screen.

You will notice that that there are notation keys automatically populated in some cells of the visualized CRT Tables 3, 3.A, 3.A(a) and 3.A(b), as well as some cells highlighted in green, as described in Table A.1 6 below. Unless otherwise specified, "NA" is automatically populated in the CRT in cases where a gas is not applicable for a category in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, and "NE" where there are no methods in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for a specific category. In some cases, "IE" (included elsewhere) is automatically populated in the visualized CRT. Finally, there are a few cases in the visualized agriculture sector of the CRT where cells are highlighted green (e.g. additional information under CRT 3.A and nitrogen fraction of biomass in CRT table 3.E. Users can enter information directly into these pale green cells of the CRT. Table A.1 6 explains the existence of notation keys for each table relevant for reporting of GHG emissions from livestock.

CRT Table	CRT category	Parameter/ Gas	Automatic mapping	Explanation
	3.B.1.a.i Mature dairy cattle	NMVOC	IE	NMVOC emissions are automatically reported in CRT category 3.B.1.a. Dairy cattle
Table 3	3.B.1.a.ii Other mature cattle	NMVOC	IE	NMVOC emissions are automatically reported in CRT category 3.B.1.b. Non-dairy cattle
	3.B.1.a.iii Growing cattle	NMVOC	IE	NMVOC emissions are automatically reported in CRT category 3.B.1.b. Non-dairy cattle
	3.B.1.a.iv Other	NMVOC	IE	NMVOC emissions are automatically reported in CRT category 3.B.1.b. Non-dairy cattle
3.A	3.A.1.a.iv Other (please specify)	AD / CH ₄	NA	This category is automatically reported as NA. User-specific Cattle's subcategories will instead map to CRT category Other mature cattle.
3.B(a)	3.B.1.a.iv Other (please specify)	AD / CH4	NA	This category is automatically reported as NA. User-specific Cattle's subcategories will instead map to CRT category Other mature cattle.
3.B(b)	3.B.1.a.iv Other (please specify)	AD / N ₂ O	NA	This category is automatically reported as NA. User-specific Cattle's subcategories will instead map to CRT category Other mature cattle.
3.D	Additional information	Frac _{GASPRPR}	IE	Frac _{GASPRP} is included in Frac _{GASM}
3.D	Additional information	Other fractions (please specify)	NO	No other default fractions are applied in the <i>Software</i> . Should additional fractions be used, those can be described in the documentation box and/or added in UNFCCC ETF Reporting Tool

 Table A.1.6
 Automatic Reporting of Notation Keys in the Agriculture Sector of the CRT