



# BOG Report

## BOG 3 – AFOLU GHG

# IPCC TFI Expert Meeting on Use of Atmospheric Observation Data in Emission Inventories

5-7 September 2022  
WMO HQ, Geneva - Switzerland

IPCC TFI TSU

**ipcc**

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change



# General Comments

- ✓ Focused on atmospheric observations that are used in inversion frameworks to estimate fluxes
- ✓ Verification of inventory using inversion data is not necessarily leading to direct changes in the emissions reporting (i.e., directly using the inversion results for reporting), but rather as a starting point for further investigation of the inventory methods as well as the inversion framework
- ✓ Goal is to reduce uncertainty in the GHG inventory by further investigating and improving the underlying activity data and other driver data, as well as emission rates
- ✓ Inventories may also be useful for improving atmospheric inversions

# General Comments

- ✓ In general, developing country parties to the UNFCCC face more challenges to use atmospheric observations for evaluating inventories
  - ✓ Global inversions may provide some insights into key categories
  - ✓ Not clear that inversions can be used directly in evaluating inventories in developing countries, particularly smaller countries
  
- ✓ Questions that can be asked in these comparisons:
  - ✓ Is the inventory accurate?
  - ✓ Is the inversion accurate?
  - ✓ What are the drivers of the stock changes or GHG fluxes?
  - ✓ Are trends and spatial patterns consistent between the inventory and inversion?

# Discussion Question #1

- ✓ Assess and critique recent estimation techniques that utilise atmospheric observations as well as operational systems, platforms, instruments/sensors and methods/models for their potential to be used for the verification of national inventory sectoral emission estimates, consistent with the guidance provided in the 2019 Refinement

# Discussion Question #1

- ✓ **Overarching conclusion: Need to gain more experience using atmospheric observations and inversions to evaluate AFOLU sectoral GHG inventories.**
  - ✓ **Best examples from evaluation of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in AFOLU sector**

**Considerations for conducting an informative analysis based on recent inversion and inventory comparisons**

- ✓ **Scale of inversion is important**
  - ✓ **Regional inversions critical for small countries, and to evaluate subnational fluxes in larger countries**
  - ✓ **Global inversions may be adequate for large countries**
  - ✓ **Regional inversions need to be consistent with the global inversions**

# Discussion Question #1

## Considerations

- ✓ **Prior fluxes for inversions is a large source of uncertainty**
  - ✓ **Could use the inventory as a prior if adequate for purposes but will likely need data beyond the inventory to estimate the full flux, particularly for CO<sub>2</sub>**
  - ✓ **In AFOLU, lateral fluxes associated with harvested woody products, crop harvest and transport, indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and hydrological flows complicate the estimation of the prior**
  
- ✓ **Transport is another large source of uncertainty in inversion frameworks**
  - ✓ **May contribute to mismatch in inversion fluxes compared to inventory**
  - ✓ **Depends on topography and complexity of atmospheric structure**

# Discussion Question #1

## Considerations

- ✓ **Atmospheric observations of concentrations have considerably less uncertainty, but quality and quantity of atmospheric observations are another consideration**
  - ✓ Tall tower and aircraft are a more direct inference on the concentrations, and need to be expanded in some regions (initiative led by WMO)
  - ✓ Satellite data provide additional information on atmospheric concentrations to support the inversions
  - ✓ In turn, satellite data are providing opportunities for inversion analysis in regions where this was not possible in the past
  
- ✓ **Inventory compilers need to be actively involved in these comparisons**
  - ✓ Providing context about the inventory analysis
  - ✓ Expressing needs for improving the inventory
  - ✓ Evaluating differences and possibly surprises from the comparison

# Discussion Question #2

- ✓ Assess and evaluate successful examples of:
  - comparisons between atmospheric observations and national inventories that are consistent with good practice provided in the 2019 Refinement that have led to implemented or planned improvements in national inventories;
    - **Several examples on next few slides**
  - available examples where emission factors derived from atmospheric observations have been incorporated into a bottom-up inventory framework
    - **No known example of this latter case in AFOLU reporting to the UNFCCC**



# Discussion Question #2

- ✓ **Comparison 1: New Zealand Example**
  - ✓ Inversion system applied to evaluate CO<sub>2</sub>
  - ✓ Inversion System: Surface measurements and Langragian Bayesian inversion system
  - ✓ Finding C sink in the inversion analysis that has not been identified in the inventory along coastal areas
    - ✓ May be associated with lateral flows of sediment and/or water
    - ✓ New studies initiated to investigate these possibilities
  - ✓ Publications: Steinkamp et al. 2017, ACP; Geddes et al. 2020, Report to Ministry of Primary Industries

# Discussion Question #2

- ✓ **Comparison 2: Australia Example**
  - ✓ Inversion system applied to evaluate CO<sub>2</sub>
  - ✓ Inversion System: OCO-2 Satellite data with Data Assimilation
  - ✓ Finding a sink in rangelands that has not been identified in the inventory
    - ✓ Maybe associated with precipitation patterns, woody encroachment, and/or management
    - ✓ Planning to further investigate these differences with inventory team
  - ✓ **Publications: Villalobos et al. 2020, ACP; Villalobos et al. 2021, ACP; Villalobos et al. 2022, ACP**

# Discussion Question #2

- ✓ **Comparison 3: United States Example**
  - ✓ Inversion system applied to investigate impact of freeze-thaw process on soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
  - ✓ System: Tower observations with Carbontracker Lagrangian Bayesian Inversion
  - ✓ Findings showed that freeze-thaw periods coinciding with large emission pulses consistent with the small set of experimental data.
  - ✓ Inversion informed model development leading to an improvement in the inventory that has been incorporated into UNFCCC reporting
  - ✓ Publication: Nevison et al. 2018, GBC; Del Grosso et al. 2022, PNAS

# Discussion Question #2

- ✓ **Comparison 3: Subnational Example from US State of California**
  - ✓ Inversion system applied to emissions from dairy operations
  - ✓ Inversion System: Lagrangian Bayesian Inversion System
  - ✓ Inversion suggested that they were under-estimated
  - ✓ Mobile and airborne measurements confirmed that the inventory emission factors were accurate and the inversion was not accurate in this case.
  - ✓ Publication: Amini et al. 2022, ES&T

# Discussion Question #3

- ✓ Assess the possibility that emerging datasets from atmospheric observations could be used to test and verify particular IPCC default values (emission factors) and associated uncertainties

# Discussion Question #3

- ✓ **Tier 1 Emission Factor Evaluation: Soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions**
  - ✓ Inversion system applied to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in Brazil, United States, China, South Asia, and Europe
  - ✓ Estimated implied emission factors based on N inputs (i.e., dividing the emissions by the inputs) combining direct and indirect emissions
  - ✓ Found that Tier 1 factors from the 2006 GL were lower than implied emission factors from the inversion analysis
  - ✓ Note: 2019 Refinement has updated these factors so could be further investigation
  - ✓ Regardless, example of how atmospheric data could be used to evaluate default factors
  - ✓ Publication: Thompson et al. 2019, Nature Climate Change

# Discussion Question #4

- ✓ Discuss the use of gridding (spatial and temporal) of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> to allow comparison with atmospheric observation data

# Discussion Question #4

- ✓ Inventory compiler needs sufficient resources and time to participate in the gridding of inventory data
  - ✓ Compiler has the detailed understanding of these data and should be involved in this process even if the compiler is not gridding the data
- ✓ Need to determine the appropriate spatial and temporal scales for comparing the inversion and inventory before gridding the inventory data
  - ✓ e.g., comparisons at finer scales may not be informative if uncertainties are large
- ✓ Need to determine where uptake and release are occurring, particularly the lateral flows of materials that may lead to spatial separation in processes driving uptake and release of the GHGs



# Discussion Question #5

- ✓ Discuss terminology and classifications of sources/sinks and associated natural and anthropogenic GHG fluxes to find a common understanding of consistency and differences in atmospheric observation data and GHG inventory estimates

# Discussion Question #5

- ✓ **Anthropogenic GHG Emissions**
  - ✓ Managed land proxy leads to inconsistency between inversion and inventory estimates
- ✓ **Differences in application of inventory methods**
  - ✓ Particularly differences among inventory Tier methods
  - ✓ Tier 1 v. Tier 3 for soil N<sub>2</sub>O has a different level of estimation and can lead to inconsistencies
- ✓ **Missing sources in the inventory**
  - ✓ Some sources may not be estimated depending on policy relevance but leads to inconsistencies with the inversion
  - ✓ e.g., Herbaceous biomass and dead organic matter

# Discussion Question #5

- ✓ **Attribution of inversion flux to individual source categories**
  - ✓ Fluxes are based on gases but source categories are often further subdivision of gases into categories such as direct and indirect soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
  - ✓ Inversions may provide some information on emissions if separation of activities across the country (e.g., pixels dominated by forest, cropland etc., rice fields, may provide some insight on sources categories)
  - ✓ However, more heterogeneous land use patterns will be difficult to disaggregate and also evaluating livestock systems in this sector which are more mobile across the landscape
- ✓ **Interannual variability**
  - ✓ Not always addressed in the inventory whereas this is part of the inversion, leading to inconsistencies
  - ✓ Longer term climate change is imposed on these patterns, but may not be addressed in the inventory
  - ✓ May be able to extract interannual variability out of the atmospheric data as the time series increase in length



# Thank you

ipcc

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change

