



## Experiences in the use of the 2006 IPCC GLs – Case Finland

Riitta Pipatti  
IPCC side-event 4 December 2015

# Contents

- Use of the 2006 IPCC GLs
  - Implementation: changes in classifications and methodologies including default EFs
- Future revisions - expectations

# Use of 2006 IPCC GIs

- 1996 IPCC Guidelines, GPG 2000 and GPG for LULUCF => 2006 IPCC Guidelines and the KP Supplement
- Changes in methodologies
  - Updates based on science and new information => improvements in accuracy but only small changes
    - Energy – default oxidation values
    - Agriculture – updated methods, increase in enteric fermentation emissions and organic soils, manure management both decreases and increase
    - use mostly higher-tier methods and data
  - Tiers – more systematic system

# Use of the 2006 IPCC GIs

- Updates due to changes in allocation (liming LULUCF - agriculture, indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in other sectors than agriculture reported as a memo item) – changes in emissions level, impacts on commitments, difficult to explain to general public
  - Changes in classification significant
    - Sectors merged (IPPU, AFOLU)
    - Allocation between categories changed (e.g. in IPPU many changes)
- => changes in calculations systems, resource consuming (data for more than 30 years in CRF Tables, systems in place for more than a decade)

# Future revisions

- Comprehensive revisions of IPCC GLs with changes in structure and classifications
  - The current structure: sector, categories should not be changed
- Update of EFs and parameters
  - EFDB to be utilized as much as possible
  - New defaults – regularly, “lighter process” but with expert/government review
- Methodological updates
  - When justified: significance, new processes or significant new scientific information