

**SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS AND
GOOD PRACTICE GUIDANCE ARISING
FROM THE KYOTO PROTOCOL**

AUTHORS AND REVIEW EDITORS

Sections 4.1 – 4.2

Coordinating Lead Authors

Bernhard Schlamadinger (Austria)

Kansri Boonpragob (Thailand), Henry Janzen (Canada), Werner Kurz (Canada), Rodel Lasco (Philippines), and Pete Smith (UK)

Lead Authors

Pascale Collas (Canada), El Nur Abdalla El Siddig (Sudan), Andreas Fischlin (Switzerland), Mitsuo Matsumoto (Japan), Alexander Nakhutin (Russia), Ian Noble (Australia), G r me Pignard (France), Zolt n Somogyi (Hungary), and Xiao-Quan Zhang (China)

Contributing Authors

Mark Easter (USA), Wojciech Galinski (Poland), Genevi ve Patenaude (Canada), Keith Paustian (USA), and Yoshiki Yamagata (Japan)

Review Editors

Masahiro Amano (Japan) and Eveline Trines (Netherlands)

Section 4.3

Coordinating Lead Authors

Sandra Brown (USA) and Omar Masera (Mexico)

Lead Authors

Vitus Ambia (New Papua Guinea), Barbara Braatz (USA), Markku Kanninen (Finland), Thelma Krug (Brazil), Daniel Martino (Uruguay), Phaniel Oballa (Kenya), Richard Tipper (UK), and Jenny L. P. Wong (Malaysia)

Contributing Authors

Ben de Jong (Mexico) and David Shoch (USA)

Review Editor

Soobaraj N. Sok Appadu (Mauritius)

Contents

4.1	INTRODUCTION	4.9
4.1.1	Overview of Steps to Estimating and Reporting Supplementary Information for Activities Under Articles 3.3, 3.4, 6 and 12	4.10
4.1.2	General Rules for Categorisation of Land Areas under Articles 3.3 and 3.4	4.13
4.1.3	Relationship between Annex I Parties' National Inventories and Article 6 LULUCF Projects....	4.19
4.2	METHODS FOR ESTIMATION, MEASUREMENT, MONITORING AND REPORTING OF LULUCF ACTIVITIES UNDER ARTICLES 3.3 AND 3.4	4.20
4.2.1	Relationship between UNFCCC Land-Use Categories and Kyoto Protocol (Articles 3.3 and 3.4) Land-Use Categories	4.20
4.2.2	Generic Methodologies for Area Identification, Stratification and Reporting	4.23
4.2.2.1	Reporting requirements	4.23
4.2.2.2	Reporting Methods for lands subject to Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities.....	4.24
4.2.2.3	Relationship between Approaches in Chapter 2 and Reporting Methods in Chapter 4.....	4.25
4.2.2.4	Choice of Reporting Method	4.26
4.2.2.5	How to identify lands (units of land) in general	4.27
4.2.3	Generic Methodological Issues For Estimating Carbon Stock Changes And Non-CO ₂ Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.29
4.2.3.1	Pools to be reported	4.30
4.2.3.2	Years for which to estimate carbon stock changes and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions	4.31
4.2.3.3	Reporting and measurement intervals	4.32
4.2.3.4	Choice of method	4.32
4.2.3.5	Factoring out indirect, natural and pre-1990 effects.....	4.32
4.2.3.6	Disturbances	4.33
4.2.3.7	Interannual variability	4.33
4.2.4	Other Generic Methodological Issues	4.34
4.2.4.1	Developing a consistent time series.....	4.34
4.2.4.2	Uncertainty assessment	4.36
4.2.4.3	Reporting and documentation.....	4.39
4.2.4.4	Quality assurance and quality control.....	4.50
4.2.4.5	Verification.....	4.50
4.2.5	Afforestation and Reforestation	4.51
4.2.5.1	Definitional issues and reporting requirements	4.51
4.2.5.2	Choice of methods for identifying units of land subject to direct human-induced afforestation/ reforestation.....	4.51
4.2.5.3	Choice of methods for estimating carbon stock changes and non-CO ₂ emissions	4.54
4.2.6	Deforestation.....	4.56
4.2.6.1	Definitional issues and reporting requirements	4.56

4.2.6.2	Choice of methods for identifying units of land subject to direct human-induced deforestation	4.57
4.2.6.3	Choice of methods for estimating carbon stock-changes and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions	4.59
4.2.7	Forest Management.....	4.61
4.2.7.1	Definitional issues and reporting requirements	4.61
4.2.7.2	Choice of methods for identifying lands subject to forest management.....	4.61
4.2.7.3	Choice of methods for estimating carbon stock changes and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions	4.64
4.2.8	Cropland Management	4.66
4.2.8.1	Definitional issues and reporting requirements	4.66
4.2.8.2	Choice of methods for identifying lands	4.68
4.2.8.3	Choice of methods for estimating carbon stock changes and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions	4.69
4.2.9	Grazing Land management	4.81
4.2.9.1	Definitional issues and reporting requirements	4.81
4.2.9.2	Choice of methods for identifying lands	4.82
4.2.9.3	Choice of methods for estimating carbon stock changes and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions	4.83
4.2.10	Revegetation.....	4.85
4.2.10.1	Definitional issues and reporting requirements	4.85
4.2.10.2	Choice of methods for identifying lands	4.86
4.2.10.3	Choice of methods for estimating carbon stock changes and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions	4.86
4.3	LULUCF PROJECTS	4.89
4.3.1	Introduction.....	4.89
4.3.1.1	Definition of Projects and relevance to Articles 6 and 12	4.90
4.3.2	Project Boundaries	4.90
4.3.2.1	Geographic area.....	4.90
4.3.2.2	Temporal boundaries	4.91
4.3.2.3	Activities and practices	4.91
4.3.3	Measuring, Monitoring, and Estimating Changes in Carbon Stocks and Non-CO ₂ Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.93
4.3.3.1	Baseline	4.94
4.3.3.2	Stratification of the project area	4.95
4.3.3.3	Selection of carbon pools and non-CO ₂ greenhouse gases	4.95
4.3.3.4	Sampling design	4.96
4.3.3.5	Field measurements and data analysis for estimating carbon stocks	4.100
4.3.3.6	Estimating changes in non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions and removals	4.106
4.3.3.7	Monitoring changes in greenhouse gas emissions and removals from project operation practices.....	4.109
4.3.3.8	Considerations for the monitoring plan	4.109
4.3.4	Quality Assurance and Quality Control Plan	4.111

4.3.4.1	Procedures to ensure reliable field measurements	4.111
4.3.4.2	Procedures to verify field data collection	4.111
4.3.4.3	Procedures to verify data entry and analysis	4.111
4.3.4.4	Data maintenance and storage	4.112
Annex 4A.1	Tool for estimation of changes in soil carbon stocks associated with management changes in croplands and grazing lands based on IPCC default data	4.113
Annex 4A.2	Examples of allometric equations for estimating aboveground biomass and belowground biomass of trees	4.114
References		4.117

Equations

Equation 4.2.1	Annual soil carbon emissions/removals from cropland management	4.72
Equation 4.3.1	Estimation of aboveground biomass of forests.....	4.102
Equation 4.3.2	Volume of lying dead wood	4.105
Equation 4.3.3	Soil organic carbon content	4.106

Figures

Figure 4.1.1	Decision tree for classifying a unit of land under Article 3.3 (ARD) or land under Article 3.4 (FM, CM, GM and RV) as of year X of the commitment period (2008, 2009, ..., 2012)	4.14
Figure 4.2.1	Land classification in the national inventories under the UNFCCC of a hypothetical country in year X of the commitment period.....	4.22
Figure 4.2.2	Land classification for Kyoto Protocol reporting for a hypothetical country in Year X of the commitment period	4.22
Figure 4.2.3	Two Reporting Methods for land subject to Articles 3.3 and 3.4 activities	4.24
Figure 4.2.4	Decision tree for choosing a reporting method for land subject to activities under Articles 3.3 and 3.4.....	4.26
Figure 4.2.5	Decision tree for determining whether a unit of land qualifies for direct human-induced (dhi) Afforestation/Reforestation (AR) or Revegetation (RV).	4.53
Figure 4.2.6	Decision tree for determining whether a unit of land is subject to direct human-induced (dhi) Deforestation (D)	4.59
Figure 4.2.7	Relationship between different forest categories.....	4.62
Figure 4.2.8	Decision tree for determining whether land qualifies as being subject to Forest Management	4.63
Figure 4.2.9	Decision tree for selecting the appropriate tier for estimating carbon stock changes in mineral soils under cropland for Kyoto Protocol reporting.....	4.71
Figure 4.2.10	Conceptual illustration of the matrix of carbon stock change factors derived for different land-use, land-management transitions for each set of biophysical combinations (Tier 1)	4.72
Figure 4.2.11	Schematic representation of a change in soil carbon stocks after a carbon-sequestering management change is imposed.	4.74
Figure 4.2.12	Conceptual illustration of the matrix of carbon stock change factors derived for different land-use, land-management transitions for each set of biophysical combinations (Tier 2)	4.75
Figure 4.2.13	Decision tree for selecting the tier at which to report carbon stock changes in organic soils under the Kyoto Protocol	4.77
Figure 4.3.1	An example of the relationship between number of plots and precision level	4.97
Figure 4.3.2	Illustration of the relationship between the magnitude of the Reliable Minimum Estimate (RME) between Time 1 and Time 2 sampling periods and the 95% confidence interval around the mean soil carbon content	4.99
Figure 4.3.3	An example of how the percent absolute change in mean soil carbon (with 95% confidence) for an afforestation project varies in relation to the sampling interval and sample size	4.100

Tables

Table 4.1.1	Summary of the LULUCF Activities under the Kyoto Protocol and the associated accounting rules.....	4.13
Table 4.2.1	Relationship between activities under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol and the basic land-use categories of Section 2.2	4.21
Table 4.2.2	Relationship between Approaches in Chapter 2 and Reporting Methods in Chapter 4.	4.26
Table 4.2.3	Calendar Years for which carbon stock changes are to be reported (for each activity and each of the five pools described above), as a function of the time when the activity started	4.31
Table 4.2.4a	Supplementary Inventory Information to be reported prior to 1 January 2007 or one year after the entry into force of the Kyoto Protocol for the Party, whichever is later..	4.40
Table 4.2.4b	Supplementary Information to be reported for the annual greenhouse gas inventory during the first commitment period according to the Marrakesh Accords.	4.41
Table 4.2.5	Land transition matrix	4.44
Table 4.2.6a	Table for Reporting (AR/D/FM)	4.45
Table 4.2.6b	Table for Reporting (CM/GM/RV)	4.46
Table 4.2.6c	Table for Reporting (Projects).....	4.47
Table 4.2.7	Summary table of greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks by Articles 3.3, 3.4 and 6 activities for the inventory year.....	4.48
Table 4.2.8	Sections where methodologies can be found for estimating different carbon pools on cropland.....	4.69
Table 4.3.1	A decision matrix to illustrate possible selection criteria of pools to measure and monitor in LULUCF projects	4.96
Table 4.3.2	Possible LULUCF project practices that may result in emissions or removals of non-CO ₂ greenhouse gases	4.107
Table 4.3.3	Location of IPCC default methods and data for estimation of non-CO ₂ greenhouse gas emissions and removals.....	4.108
Table 4.A.1	Allometric equations for estimating aboveground biomass of tropical and temperate hardwood and pine species	4.114
Table 4.A.2	Allometric equations for estimating aboveground biomass of palm trees common in tropical humid forests of Latin America.....	4.114
Table 4.A.3	Examples of allometric equations for estimating aboveground biomass of some individual species commonly used in the Tropics.....	4.115
Table 4.A.4	Allometric equations for estimating belowground or root biomass of forests.....	4.115

Boxes

Box 4.1.1	Examples for the assignment of units of land to Article 3.3 activities and lands to Article 3.4 activities over time	4.17
Box 4.2.1	An example of consistency for management practices.....	4.35
Box 4.2.2	Links.....	4.54
Box 4.2.3	Links.....	4.55
Box 4.2.4	Links.....	4.57
Box 4.2.5	Links.....	4.60
Box 4.2.6	Links.....	4.64
Box 4.2.7	Links.....	4.65
Box 4.2.8	An example of cropland management areas in 1990 and the commitment period (net-net accounting).....	4.67
Box 4.2.9	Links.....	4.69
Box 4.2.10	Links.....	4.70
Box 4.2.11	Examples of possible influences of carbon stock changes on emissions of non-CO ₂ gases	4.80
Box 4.2.12	Links.....	4.82
Box 4.2.13	Links.....	4.83
Box 4.2.14	Links.....	4.86
Box 4.2.15	Links.....	4.87
Box 4.3.1	Afforestation or Reforestation projects	4.91
Box 4.3.2	Cropland management projects: conversion from conventional to zero tillage in agriculture	4.92
Box 4.3.3	Forest management projects: reduced impact logging	4.92
Box 4.3.4	Forest improvement projects: enrichment planting on logged-over forest or secondary growth forest	4.92
Box 4.3.5	Guidance on estimating greenhouse gas emissions from mobile sources	4.109
Box 4.3.6	Monitoring projects involving multiple small-scale landholders	4.110