

Carbon Dioxide Removal Technologies and Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage

Background and objectives

IPCC expert Meeting Vienna, Austria, 1-3 July 2024 IPCC TFI TSU







IPCC Plenary decision

 The TFI will hold an Expert Meeting on Carbon Dioxide Removal Technologies, Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage and provide a Methodology Report on these by the end of 2027.

IPCC 60, decision 9 para 7 January 2024







What is an IPCC TFI Methodology Report?

- The IPCC Task Force on Inventories (TFI)
 - 2006 IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories
 - 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines
 - 2013 Wetlands supplement



IPCC - Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (iges.or.jp)

- Used by parties to the Paris Agreement (UNFCCC) in estimation of emissions and sinks for national inventories
 - They also provide the basis for the rules agreed by Paris Agreement parties for national reporting
 - by decision of the parties to those agreements







2027 IPCC TFI Methodology Report processes

- Scoping Meeting (October)
 - Make recommendations to the Plenary on the scope of the Methodology Report
- IPCC Plenary (Feb 2025) makes final determination of the scope of the Methodology Report
- Report writing by authors nominated by governments and selected by the IPCC TFI Task Force Bureau:
 - 4 Lead Author Meetings
- Methodology Report submitted for acceptance by the IPCC Plenary in late 2027







This IPCC TFI expert meeting

- Identify gaps or need for update in the GLs
- Collect evidence and literature
- Consider pros and cons of options
 - does not need to come up with a consensus
- The Co-Chairs will assemble a Meeting Report based on materials collected
 - will be published
 - will be made available to the Scoping Meeting







Meeting format

Day 1: Presentations and panel discussions by experts

Day 2: Break Out Group [3] discussions

 Day 3: Further discussions. Reporting back to Plenary by rapporteurs; discussion and wrap up







Some possible tasks for you to consider

- Identification of range of CDR technology types
- Consider **criteria** for assessing CDR technologies and CCUS activities for inclusion in the future scope of work
- Consider and review the current IPCC classification system
- Consider feasibility of developing methods for new sources and sinks
 - Preliminary assessments
 - Lists
- Consider desirability of updating methods for existing sources and sinks
- Consider empirical data available to parameterise methods
- Consider other elements such as verification regimes







Identification of some possible CDR technology types for consideration

Group	The IPCC WGIII AR6 Report examples of CDR methods
Engineered carbon capture with geological storage in the lithosphere	Direct air carbon capture and storageBioenergy with carbon capture and storage
Carbon capture in products Anthropogenic mineral processes with storage of inorganic carbon in minerals	<u> </u>
or as bicarbonate ions Anthropogenic biological processes (photosynthesis) – biomass	 Afforestation/Reforestation Agroforestry Improved Forest Management "Blue carbon management" in coastal wetlands
Anthropogenic biological processes (photosynthesis) – soils and waterways	 Soil carbon sequestration in croplands and grasslands Peatland and coastal wetland restoration Biochar

Table 1: Grouping of CDR pathways by type of technology

Source: Derived from IPCC 2022 – IPCC WGIII Mitigation of Climate Change, Technical Summary. *Additional.







Identification of possible criteria against which to assess CDR technologies and CCUS activities

- 1. the **identification of gaps** in the existing IPCC Guidelines for specific anthropogenic sinks or sources; or the identification of relevant existing sources and sinks where an elaboration of the Guidelines is considered desirable;
- 2. the **delineation** of the anthropogenic sink or source to be estimated;
- 3. the current and expected significance of the anthropogenic activity;
- 4. the knowledge available to generalize an IPCC Tier 1 methodology applicable under any national circumstances:
 - a. availability of necessary **activity data** to implement the methods (readily available national or international statistics); and
 - b. the ability to specify tier 1 default values:
- 5. the feasibility of being able to specify **higher tier methods** for use by inventory compilers
- 6. guidance for inventory compilers as to how they may be able to devise appropriate verification activities.







IPCC Guidelines **Category Code and Name** 1 C 1 Transport of CO₂ This comprises fugitive emissions from the systems used to transport captured CO₂ from the source to the injection site. IPCC classification system of sources and sinks For each source and sink insport CO₂ to the injection site. Fugitive emissions from the ships used to transport CO₂ to Tier 1 estimation method - Higher tier estimation methods ction site and temporary storage - Quality Assurance/Quality Control [verification] Uncertainty Reporting for transparency ection site. Fugitive emissions from the end equipment once the CO₂ is Not life cycle Emissions from industrial processes and product use, USE excluding those related to energy combustion (re under 1A), extraction, processing and transport of (reported under 1B) and CO transport injection and storage (reported under 1B) and CO transport injection and storage change

Some basic definitions to underpin discussion

- **Sink** means <u>any</u> process, activity or mechanism which removes a greenhouse gas, an aerosol or a precursor of a greenhouse gas from the atmosphere (UNFCCC).
 - Examples of sink activities include Direct Air Capture technologies and photosynthesis.
- **Source** means any process or activity which releases a greenhouse gas, an aerosol or a precursor of a greenhouse gas <u>into the atmosphere</u> (UNFCCC).
- **Emissions** means the release of greenhouse gases and/or their precursors <u>into the atmosphere</u> over a specified area and period of time (*UNFCCC*).
- <u>TSU Note</u>: **Removals** are the consequence of sink activities. One removal corresponds to 1 tonne of carbon dioxide removed from the atmosphere and, if by human activities, is counted as a negative contribution to the national total net carbon dioxide emissions in a national inventory







Completeness of inventories

- The IPCC Guidelines are complete
- the latest IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories do not include an accounting methodology for DAC, meaning that CDR associated with DAC cannot be counted towards meeting international mitigation targets under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
 - Executive summary Direct Air Capture 2022 Analysis IEA

- The IEA is not correct
- 'Other' categories have always been able to be used by reporters using nationally-derived methods.
 - However: should the existing IPCC classification system be elaborated?
- Another note: IPCC does 'estimation' and 'reporting' and not 'accounting' which is the domain of parties to the UNFCCC/Paris Agreement.







National methods

- For use by national inventory compilers in estimation of national greenhouse gas emissions
- Not project-based methods

- **Territorial -** National inventories should include anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and removals taking place within national territory and offshore areas over which the country has jurisdiction
 - (2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, Vol 1.1.1 page 1.6).







Estimators for anthropogenic removals

- What is an anthropogenic removal?
 - means that greenhouse gas emissions and removals included in national inventories are a result of human activities (2019 Refinement to the 20006 IPCC Guidelines Vol 1.1.1 page 1.5).
- What is the estimator for anthropogenic removals?
 - An issue for enhancement of natural processes.....
- Is it possible to disentangle anthropogenic from natural influences in the observed data?
 - Consider, for terrestrial vegetation....Managed Land Proxy...
 - which says, if this may not be possible, all emissions and sinks on the land may be estimated and attributed to anthropogenic...







Comparability

- Methods should not be considered in isolation.
- Should consider comparability of approaches across various CDR technologies
- Should compare new proposals with existing methods/verification requirements for existing sources and sinks
 - For example, consistency of approaches to assessments of permanence/leakage across various carbon storage types
 - Existing examples include:
 - Forests and other vegetation
 - soils









Thank you

https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/index.html

https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/meeting/meeting.html





